

Wildlife-Related Attitudes and Knowledge of Illinois Citizens

Federal Aid Project W-128-R-1

7 February 1997

P.C. Mankin and R.E. Warner

Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences

University of Illinois

W.L. Anderson

Division of Wildlife Resources

Illinois Department of Natural Resources



524 S. Second Street, Springfield, IL 62701

Project Funding provided by the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Program,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

PERFORMANCE REPORT FINAL REPORT

State of Illinois

P. C. Mankin and R. E. Warner
Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences
University of Illinois

W. L. Anderson
Division of Wildlife Resources
Illinois Department of Natural Resources

PROJECT W-128-R-1: Wildlife-Related Attitudes and Knowledge of Illinois Citizens

PERIOD COVERED: 01 July 1995 - 31 December 1996

Abstract:

Although natural resource agencies interact with the public in numerous ways, there has been little systematic attempt to describe the perceptions, knowledge, and attitudes of the general public regarding the use and management of wildlife resources in Illinois. Therefore, the objective of this project was to develop and conduct a preliminary opinion survey of wildlife-related knowledge and attitudes of a randomly selected sample of residents in the metropolitan (urban) Chicago area (Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, and Will counties) and in the remainder (rural) of Illinois. The telephone survey was completed by The Gallup Organization in June-July 1996. A total of 805 telephone interviews were conducted, 404 urban and 401 rural. The areas of interest included: general wildlife interests, state programs and regulation, wildlife problems, role of wildlife, and rare and endangered species.

The results indicate that most Illinois residents feel wildlife is important to them (57% said very important and 37% said somewhat important). Ninety-seven percent of the respondents said that wildlife and conservation education in the schools are important. The value of wildlife to Illinois residents is evident from the fact that 72% of the public would support a slight cost increase for outdoor products to help maintain or increase wildlife, and 64% support protection of endangered species, even if the cost is high in terms of dollars spent and jobs lost. The value Illinois residents place on wildlife is also reflected in the proportion of residents who categorize wildlife at the same level as humans (24%) or view them as they do pets (47%).

Other significant findings:

- Basic ecological concepts, such as the association between abundance and the availability of resources (carrying capacity), are not well recognized by the public.
- The animal most often cited as the favorite was the deer (33%), and yet this species was also most often listed (29%) for causing damage to property.
- Although public satisfaction with wildlife in Illinois was high, awareness of programs in the state was minimal. Only 15% of the respondents were aware of any nongame wildlife programs in the Illinois Department of Natural Resources (formerly the Illinois Department of Conservation), and only 36% were aware that part of the cost of hunting equipment goes toward wildlife conservation.

Introduction:

Natural resource management agencies are increasingly challenged to involve the public in issues pertaining to wildlife management. This survey sought to identify: 1) the potential need for enhancing the knowledge of and involvement by the public in the conservation and use of wildlife resources of the state, and 2) possible differences in wildlife-related attitudes between urban and rural residents of Illinois.

This final report consists of two parts. The first part describes the background, objectives, methodology, conclusions, and recommendations. The in-depth results of the study can be found in the second part, Illinois Wildlife Study Technical Report, which consists of the Executive Summary, Detailed Results tables, the survey instrument, and the crosstabulated data.

Study No. 1: Opinion survey and related tasks

The objectives of this study were to provide background information regarding how Illinois citizens view wildlife resources and related management efforts. It was an exploratory and preliminary effort to determine how the findings of such opinion surveys may bear upon the efforts of Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) to manage wildlife resources, and to educate and inform citizens of these issues. Moreover, the study was designed to determine if more in-depth opinion surveys are warranted to further elucidate key issues, including additional regional stratification. Although costly, this study included minimal sample sizes for reliable information, and it was, in part, supported by the University of Illinois (UI), as well as the IDNR and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

Job No. 1: Opinion survey

Objective

The objective was to develop and conduct a preliminary opinion survey of wildlife-related knowledge and attitudes of a randomly selected sample of residents in the metropolitan Chicago area and in the remainder of Illinois.

Methods

The Gallup Organization was contracted to conduct the telephone survey. An advisory committee consisting of members of the IDNR and the UI Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences met to draft appropriate questions for inclusion in the survey. After reviewing similar efforts conducted elsewhere, IDNR and UI personnel identified and prioritized a list of key questions and issues to be addressed. The committee drafted more than 45 questions for consideration and review. The areas of focus included general wildlife interests, state programs, wildlife problems, attitudes toward wildlife.

From the initial list of questions, 27 (excluding demographic questions) were chosen for the telephone questionnaire. Once IDNR and UI committee members developed and selected the desired questions within the major areas of interest, team members from Gallup assisted in the wording and sequence to insure questions were free of bias. The final survey instrument was reviewed and approved by the Division of Wildlife Resources (IDNR).

The two regions under consideration were the metropolitan Chicago area, classified as "urban", consisting of 6 highly urbanized counties (Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, and Will), and the remainder of Illinois which was classified as "rural". Because the 6 county urban region contained 65% of the Illinois population, a disproportionate random sample design was used. The terms "urban" and "rural" are relative terms, because the rural region includes several isolated

metropolitan areas. The study design required a total sample size of 800 for statistically acceptable results, 400 from each of the two regions, with an error < 3%. The survey focused on Illinois residents 18 years of age or older. As of July 1995, the estimated population of the State of Illinois was 11,829,940 of which 8,704,046 persons were 18 years of age or older (U.S. Bureau of the Census).

Results:

A pretest was conducted prior to the start of the study to detect biases in wording and proper sequence of questions. The telephone interviews were completed during June-July 1996 with an average interview time of 10 minutes. A total of 805 telephone interviews were conducted, 404 urban and 401 rural. We emphasize that the urban region consisted of a sample from the 6 northeastern counties and rural region comprised a sample from the remainder of the state. A copy of the survey instrument as used by The Gallup Organization can be found in Appendix A of the attached Illinois Wildlife Study Technical Report.

Job No. 2: Analysis and recommendations

Objective

To analyze the findings of the preliminary opinion survey and to make recommendations to the Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) regarding future work in this area.

Methods

The Gallup Organization performed the statistical analyses based on the requirements provided by UI team members. Prior to analysis, data were weighted to account for the distribution of the state population (see p. 3 of the attached report). Initial cross tabulation tables were produced using the grouping variables: region (urban, rural), gender (male, female), membership in environmental and conservation organizations (yes, no), age (18-34, 35-54, 55+), and race (white, black, Hispanic, other) (see Appendix B of the attached report).

Results

The results from this study are summarized in the Executive Summary, as well as, in the Detailed Results (including 24 tables) in the Illinois Wildlife Study Technical Report prepared by The Gallup Organization. The Detailed Results is divided into four main areas:

- Awareness about wildlife
- Concern about dangers from wildlife
- Opinions about selected issues
- Satisfaction with wildlife

Discussion

The findings indicate that many of the decisions made by natural resource agencies are poorly understood by the public and, therefore, are subject to concern or even resistance. At the same time, citizens are exposed to more "soundbites" of information regarding wildlife and related issues than ever before. It is clear that these numerous, fragmented sources of information are sensitizing citizens to wildlife issues, while at the same time citizens receive little in-depth ecological information and, through urbanization, are increasingly removed from the natural resource base.

The public is not necessarily well informed on wildlife issues close to home. For example, in Illinois, imperiled wildlife species are not currently at risk of extinction through hunting.

However, if the major source of information an Illinois resident receives on rare and endangered species is from news or television programs concerned about the disappearance of species, such as the black rhinoceros or Siberian tiger, from excessive hunting activities (i.e. poaching), hunting may come to mind when asked about factors that impact Illinois wildlife. In fact, when asked (not specifying Illinois) whether hunting or destruction of living areas (habitat) contributes more to causing species to become endangered, 27% of the statewide sample indicated hunting (30% of urban and 22% of rural; 33% of females and 20% of males). Moreover, 31% of the respondents thought that a particular habitat could support an unlimited number of wildlife (carrying capacity question). These misconceptions may influence the way people respond to natural resource agency policies and directives. Thus, knowing where people get their information can be useful in determining how and where wildlife and conservation education efforts should be directed. Due to time constraints of the news media, the primary kinds of information that impact the general public often lack depth. Basic ecological concepts, such as the association between abundance and the availability of resources affecting habitat and population phenomena are not well recognized.

The number of Illinois households with individuals who have hunted or trapped in the last three years was low (11% urban, 29% rural). It should be noted that this percentage would likely have been much smaller if the question was asked only of the respondent and not the respondent plus anyone else in their household. Most of the public (64%) does not support hunting for sport only. For example, a referendum on hunting, for which there were several throughout the U.S. in the November 1996 elections, may not fare well in Illinois in terms of support, based only on opinions of hunting. Likewise, in a survey of Illinois residents on fur hunting and trapping, 64% of respondents disapproved of fur hunting (Duda and Young 1994). On the other hand, there is a significant opportunity to "sell" hunting in terms of economic development, consumption as food, and to keep animal populations in balance.

Contracting diseases from wildlife was a concern for more than half of the survey respondents. These people were most concerned for themselves and their families. They were much less concerned about the threat of wildlife diseases in their pets or livestock.

There were significant generational differences among the respondents. Younger residents value wildlife more (would miss wildlife more), and are most likely to put wildlife on the same level as humans, than older residents. The younger generation has been sensitized by the media to wildlife issues, including the extinction of species, and perhaps that all life forms have "equal value." There are significant numbers of people who are undecided on many environmental matters. They may be influenced by arguments that are not well founded, but make persuasive use of isolated situations and/or information taken out of context, especially given the limited support for hunting.

Direct comparisons with the 1991 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation (U.S. Dept. of Interior 1991) should be made with care because of temporal and other differences in the surveys. For example, the USFWS national survey reported that 39% of Illinois residents 16 years of age or older participated in some form of nonconsumptive wildlife-related activity in 1991. Our survey showed that 84% (age 18+) had participated in similar activities in 1996. Although differences in survey methodologies may account for some discrepancy, participation in these activities is rapidly growing. Also, our survey may include some incidental nonconsumptive activities (eg. incidental observing of wildlife while driving, etc.), even though interviewers were instructed to inform respondents not to include them if the point was raised. Nonetheless, it appears that participation in nonconsumptive activities by Illinois adults may be at unprecedented levels.

Conclusions

Major highlights of the findings include:

- The importance of wildlife to Illinois residents is clear. Most residents feel wildlife is important to them (57% said very important and 37% said somewhat important). Ninety-seven percent of the respondents said that wildlife and conservation education in the schools are important. Of the 84% who participated in at least one of three wildlife activities (observing, photographing, or feeding wildlife), 67% would miss that interaction with wildlife very much and another 27% would miss it some. The survey indicates that one-quarter of Illinois residents feed wildlife at least once per week and 36% intentionally observe wildlife everyday. These findings imply strong personal interest on the part of many citizens in the well-being of wildlife. The value Illinois residents place on wildlife is also reflected in the proportion of residents who categorize wildlife at the same level as humans (24%) or view them as they do pets (47%).
- The importance of wildlife to Illinois residents is also evident from the fact that 72% of the public would support a slight cost increase for outdoor equipment to help maintain or increase wildlife, and 64% support protection of endangered species, even if the cost is high in terms of dollars spent and jobs lost. Illinois residents appear willing to support taxes such as those associated with the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Enhancement Act of 1996 and the Teaming with Wildlife program.
- Basic ecological concepts are not well recognized by the public, such as the association between abundance and the availability of resources (carrying capacity).
- The animal most often cited as the favorite was the deer (33%), and yet it was most often listed (29%) as the species causing damage to property. In the fact, of those residents who sustained damage attributed to deer, 41% indicated that the white-tailed deer was their favorite animal.
- Urban residents perceived more wildlife damage than rural residents; 79% of raccoon, 76% of squirrel, and 60% of rabbit damage were reported in the urban region. By comparison, deer damage to property was approximately equal in urban (53%) and rural (47%) regions.
- Although public satisfaction with wildlife in Illinois was high, awareness of programs in the state was minimal. Only 15% of the respondents were aware of any nongame wildlife programs in the IDNR, and only 36% were aware that part of the cost of hunting equipment goes toward wildlife conservation.
- Participation by Illinois residents in wildlife-related activities is at best a crude and conservative indicator of their interest in wildlife conservation and related issues. People may feel very strongly about wildlife and other environmental issues without actively participating in these activities.

Taken collectively, the regional and age differences, plus the limited understanding of ecological processes, provide evidence that the emerging "generation of influence" is relatively removed from the natural resource base. This segment of the public is not prone to participate in consumptive uses of wildlife, but sees a place for such activities, especially if they are not for sport alone. Thus, hunting and related activities must be carefully justified in the context of broader resource management goals, including animal damage control, providing food for consumption, and economic development.

In conclusion, the IDNR needs to more effectively inform and educate the emerging generation of

political influence, as well as other specific clientele groups that are distinct and important, with respect to regions, demography, and/or participation in wildlife activities.

Recommendations

- The public can be expected to make sound, informed decisions on natural resource issues only if they are provided with adequate information in a form they can understand. This is not a short-term endeavor, nor an easy one, but will require a persistent and continuous effort. This survey shows a strong need for environmental education. Environmental education professionals need to aggressively provide the link between the scientific community, natural resource professionals, and the public. Environmental issues are becoming important to many people, but the extent of superficial knowledge, misconceptions, and lack of diverse experiential involvement by citizens are a serious concern. More emphasis could be placed on the benefits gained by nongame wildlife species through programs that are primarily designed to improve hunting. Thus, the management of all types of wildlife needs to be conveyed in the context of the goal of maintaining the integrity of ecosystems.
- Educational efforts should be focused on the two most used sources of information: *newspapers* and *television*. The money and time spent on educational materials for state park visitors is no doubt important, but only 1% of the respondents said listed parks as a primary source of wildlife information. We were surprised that "radio" was not mentioned as a source of wildlife information, even though there are nature and wildlife-related segments presented regularly on radio in Illinois.
- A series of professionally produced informative and educational videos should be made showing how wildlife plays an important role in the conservation and management of the natural resources of Illinois. The uniqueness of Illinois (>90% privately owned) should be portrayed in light of the habitat needs of wildlife. Besides television, outlets for these videos might include schools, libraries, Dept. of Tourism, and conservation organizations.
- The IDNR should consider developing a more knowledgeable and involved public constituency related to consumptive activities. To be successful, the management of consumptive activities cannot operate in a vacuum. Indeed, the interaction (and possible conflicts) with nonconsumptive activities are inevitable, requiring a proactive, rather than reactive, attitude and commitment to the IDNR's mission.

Future research

This preliminary survey provides a benchmark for refining follow-on surveys and for comparison with future efforts. The IDNR should reevaluate/revise I & E goals, using the follow-up survey to determine how to best accomplish these goals. It is recommended that a second survey of Illinois citizens be conducted in the near future. This second survey should be guided by the following points:

- While this preliminary survey indicates the general areas needing attention, a follow-up survey can provide highly useful information for IDNR programs of information and education (I & E). The follow-up survey should cover at least 7 metropolitan and 5 rural areas throughout the State of Illinois. At a minimum, the IDNR should further explore the nature of urban and rural differences in attitudes toward wildlife. The IDNR should develop regional-based I & E programs where appropriate. The significant regional differences detected in the preliminary survey suggest the need to more clearly delineate factors related to these differences.

- Are there differences in knowledge and perceptions among:
 - a) urban residents (among metro areas, suburban/inner-city socio-economic factors)?
 - b) various downstate metropolitan areas in Illinois?
 - c) rural residents (farmers, hobby farmers, village-town-city)?
- Do fisheries and wildlife consumers need to be more cohesively linked by the IDNR? Can fish and wildlife constituencies be mutually supportive?
- How much land or habitat improvement does the public think we need for wildlife? The answer to this question may prompt additional educational efforts.
- How does the IDNR gain higher visibility? Perhaps experimentation with more exposure in the popular press is warranted.
- Are IDNR information outlets reaching those not already expressing concern about our natural resources?
- Can the impact of the IDNR elementary school education efforts be detected?

Literature Cited

Duda, M. D. And K.C. Young. 1994. Illinois residents' opinions and attitudes regarding trapping, fur hunting and furbearer management. Mark Damian Duda and Associates, Inc. Harrisonburg, VA.

U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service and U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. 1991 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 1993.

Urban versus Rural

Highlights of selected urban and rural responses from the Illinois citizens wildlife survey. More detailed comparisons can be found in Appendix B: Crosstabs.

Question	Urban	Rural	Sig
Wildlife is moderately or very important to them.	93%	95%	
Have observed wildlife in the last year.	78%	86%	*
Have photographed wildlife in the last year.	26%	23%	
Have fed wildlife in the last year.	37%	54%	*
Have observed wildlife as least once per week in the last year.	61%	71%	*
Have photographed wildlife as least once per week in the last year.	6%	7%	
Have fed wildlife as least once per week in the last year.	51%	67%	*
Would miss wildlife very much if no interaction.	67%	68%	
In society wildlife are placed same as <i>people</i> .	28%	15%	*
In society wildlife are placed same as <i>pets</i> .	46%	49%	
A wildlife habitat can support an unlimited number of wildlife.	29%	36%	*
Endangered species should be protected even if cost is high.	66%	62%	
Believes <i>hunting</i> causes endangered species more than habitat loss.	30%	22%	
Feels favorable towards hunting for <i>sport</i> .	14%	31%	*
Feels favorable towards hunting for <i>food</i> .	49%	63%	*
Feels favorable towards hunting for <i>population control</i> .	41%	61%	*
Feels favorable towards hunting to <i>reduce risk of diseases</i> .	46%	60%	*
Households with at least one member who has hunted or trapped in the past 3 years.	11%	29%	*
Somewhat or very concerned about wildlife damage to crops.	68%	71%	
Somewhat or very concerned about wildlife damage to home/land.	54%	50%	
Somewhat or very concerned about vehicle collisions with deer.	83%	90%	
Somewhat or very concerned about getting diseases from wildlife.	58%	55%	
Those reporting damage to their property by wildlife.	18%	17%	
Wildlife/conservation education is moderately or very important.	96%	97%	
Aware of nongame wildlife programs by IDNR	13%	20%	*
Supports programs to maintain or increase game wildlife	43%	56%	*
Supports slight cost increase in outdoor products to benefit wildlife.	73%	71%	
Aware that part of hunting products cost goes toward wildlife.	29%	48%	*

Question	Urban	Rural	Sig
Those who feel the state (vs. county or city) should regulate and control wildlife in Illinois.	61%	55%	
Satisfied with the <i>variety</i> of wildlife in Illinois.	74%	86%	*
Satisfied with the <i>amount</i> of wildlife in Illinois.	71%	83%	*
Satisfied with the <i>management</i> of wildlife in Illinois.	63%	78%	*
Those receiving most their information about wildlife from some form of print media (eg. newspaper, books, magazines).	45%	49%	
Those receiving most their information about wildlife from television	26%	20%	
Belongs to any conservation or environmental organization.	11%	11%	

Notes: * denotes that the two values are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$)

**TECHNICAL REPORT
ILLINOIS WILDLIFE STUDY**

Prepared for:

**Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61801**

October 1996

Prepared by:

**Ajay Bhardwaj, Ph.D.
Max Larsen, Ph.D.
The Gallup Organization
One Church Street, Suite 900
Rockville, Maryland 20850**

ILLINOIS WILDLIFE STUDY TECHNICAL REPORT

Table of Contents

I.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
	BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE.....	2
	METHODS.....	2
	HIGHLIGHTS.....	3
	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	6
II.	DETAILED RESULTS	7
	AWARENESS ABOUT WILDLIFE	8
	CONCERNS ABOUT DAMAGE BY WILDLIFE.....	15
	OPINIONS ON SELECTED ISSUES	19
	SATISFACTION WITH WILDLIFE	27
III.	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	29
	APPENDIX A: SURVEY	
	APPENDIX B: CROSSTABS	

ILLINOIS WILDLIFE STUDY TECHNICAL REPORT

INDEX OF TABLES

Table 1:	Importance of Wildlife to Illinois Residents	8
Table 2:	Extent of Missing Interaction with Wildlife	9
Table 3:	Views of Wildlife in Society	10
Table 4:	Animals Commonly Associated with Wildlife	11
Table 5:	Favorite Wildlife of Illinois Residents	12
Table 6:	Proportion of Residents Saying "Yes" to Participation in Wildlife-Related Activities.....	13
Table 7:	Frequency of Participation in Wildlife-Related Activities.....	14
Table 8:	Concern about Damage by Wildlife	15
Table 9:	Kinds of Damage Occurring by Wildlife.....	16
Table 10:	Animals Causing the Damage.....	17
Table 11:	Proportion of Residents Indicating "Yes" to Different Ways of Dealing with the Problem of Wildlife Damage.....	18
Table 12:	Wildlife Habitat and Amount of Wildlife	19
Table 13:	Protection of Endangered Species.....	20
Table 14:	Causes for Species to Become Endangered	21
Table 15:	Feelings of Illinois Residents about Hunting.....	22
Table 16:	Importance of Wildlife and Conservation Education in Schools.....	23
Table 17:	Percentage of Illinois Residents Saying "Yes" to Selected Questions.....	24
Table 18:	Residents' Choices of Agencies to Regulate Wildlife in Illinois.....	26
Table 19:	Mean Satisfaction with State's Wildlife resources and Management.....	27
Table 20:	Proportion of Residents Satisfies and Dissatisfied with Wildlife.....	28
Table 21:	Background Information on Participating Illinois Residents.....	30
Table 22:	Respondents' Sources of Information About	31
Table 23:	Concern about Getting Diseases from Wildlife	32
Table 24:	Who Concern is for about Getting Diseases from Wildlife.....	33

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ILLINOIS WILDLIFE STUDY

TECHNICAL REPORT

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background and Purpose

This study was designed to determine the attitudes and perceptions of Illinois residents about wildlife in Illinois. The scope of the study included a broad range of topics of interest, such as the importance of wildlife, favorite wildlife, participation in wildlife-related activities, concerns about damage by wildlife, ways to deal with the damage caused by wildlife, opinion on selected wildlife-related issues, and satisfaction with wildlife. The study provides the sponsors with benchmark data, which are not only expected to provide an understanding about people's views on wildlife, but also should assist the sponsors in making informed decisions about developing new programs, mobilizing additional resources for programs, and other strategic planning efforts.

Methods

This study was conducted by the University of Illinois Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences and funded by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. The Gallup Organization was retained as a contractor to fully administer the study. The following tasks were accomplished to implement the study:

Task 1: The development of the questionnaire (Appendix A) was a joint effort between the University and Gallup team members. The University identified major domains for the study and provided Gallup with a list of questions to be asked in the survey. Gallup had responsibility for developing a questionnaire no longer than 10 minutes in length, and wording the items such that they were technically correct and free of bias. The University had the final approval over the survey instrument.

Task 2: A disproportionate random sample design was used to select the sample. A total of 805 telephone interviews (urban=404; rural=401) were completed between mid-June and mid-July 1996. The average interview length was 10 minutes. A random-digit dial telephone methodology was used to provide equal access to every working telephone in Illinois.

Task 3: The survey was programmed for computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI), and pretested prior to the start of the study. A five-call design was implemented to ensure representativeness of the sample. Gallup's professional consumer interviewers conducted interviews during the week from 5:00 to 9:00 p.m., and on weekends from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Task 4: Before analyzing the data, the data from this disproportionate sample design were weighted to reestablish the proper proportionality for overall state totals. The data were weighted in a multi-stage process which occurred in the order described below. In all cases, the parameters used were those from the most recent Census Bureau estimates for the state of Illinois:

1. The distribution of urban and nonurban interviews was adjusted to approximate the most recent Census Bureau estimates, based on county level population.
2. The white/nonwhite composition of the sample was adjusted to correct the underrepresentation of nonwhite respondents.
3. The distribution across three age categories (18 - 34; 35 - 54; 55+) was then evaluated and weights applied.
4. A final examination of the data weighted to this point revealed the need to adjust the proportion of completes by gender. This was accomplished in the final stage of weighting.

The result of the weighting procedures produced a sample which can be considered representative of adults living in telephone households within the state of Illinois.

Task 5: The data were coded, edited, and cross-tabulated (Appendix B) by gender (male; female), region (urban; rural), and age groups (18-34; 35-54; 55+). The University can be confident that the very best data collection and processing techniques were used throughout the project.

Highlights

1. Awareness about Wildlife

- **Illinois residents find wildlife important and would miss interaction with it.**

Almost all (94%) residents, regardless of age, gender, or region, reported that wildlife is important to them and they would miss interaction (observing, photographing and feeding) with it. More than two-thirds of the residents indicated that they would miss interaction with wildlife "very much."

- **Wildlife is viewed the same as pets or people.**

Over two-thirds (71%) of the residents place wildlife in society the same as they do people and pets. Significantly more urban and younger residents are likely to view wildlife as they do people. Only one-fifth of the residents view wildlife as livestock. Deer, birds and fish are the favorite wildlife of Illinois residents.

- **Interaction with wildlife differs according to region and age.**

While most (81%) residents report observing wildlife, significantly more rural and middle-aged residents observe wildlife. Similar patterns were also observed for feeding wildlife.

Only one-fourth of residents photograph wildlife, and younger residents are more likely to photograph wildlife than older respondents.

2. Concern about Dangers from Wildlife

- **Illinois residents are concerned about damage by wildlife.**

Residents of Illinois are primarily concerned about deer collisions with vehicles (85%), followed by damage to crops (69%), and damage to homes and landscape (53%).

Slightly less than one-fifth of the residents reported a recent incident of damage caused by wildlife. Deer, squirrels, rabbits and raccoons were identified as commonly causing the damage.

Of those reporting a problem with wildlife, most tolerated the problem or tried to solve the problem by themselves. Only 9% reported hiring a professional to deal with the problem.

3. Opinions about Selected Issues

- **A sizable proportion of Illinois residents believe that a particular habitat can support an unlimited amount of wildlife.**

Two-fifths (40%) of the residents either do not know or believe that a particular habitat can support an unlimited amount of wildlife. Of the 31% of residents who believe that a particular habitat can support an unlimited amount of wildlife, significantly more rural and younger residents are likely to believe so. Interestingly, significantly more females than males (13% versus 5%) said they "don't know."

- **Endangered species should be protected.**

Two-thirds of the residents believe in protecting endangered species, even if the cost is high in terms of dollars and lost jobs.

Most residents (71%) believe that the destruction of wildlife habitats cause species to become endangered. Slightly over one-fourth of the residents believe that hunting causes species to become endangered.

- **Feelings about hunting vary according to what it is done for.**

Two-thirds of the residents have negative feelings about hunting if it is done for sport. Half of the residents view hunting favorably if it is done for controlling the animal population, reducing the risk of diseases, and for food. One-fourth are undecided, and the rest view it negatively.

- **Illinois residents are divided in their support for state programs to increase hunted wildlife.**

While less than half (47%) of the residents support state programs to increase hunted wildlife, more rural and male residents are likely to do so. When asked about their awareness of state programs for non-hunted wildlife, only 15% reported awareness of such programs.

- **Wildlife and conservation education in schools is important.**

Almost all (97%) residents, irrespective of gender, age, and region, feel that wildlife and conservation education in schools is important.

- **Illinois residents support slight cost increases to pay for programs to preserve or increase wildlife.**

About three-fourths (72%) of the residents are likely to support a slight increase in the cost of outdoor products to develop wildlife preservation programs. When asked about their awareness of contributions to wildlife preservation programs from the sales of hunting/hunting products, slightly over one-third were aware of such contributions. Interestingly, significantly more rural (48%), male (47%), and middle-aged and older (40%) residents were aware of such contributions.

4. Satisfaction with Wildlife

- **Most residents are satisfied with the variety, amount, and management of wildlife.**

Over two-thirds of the residents report satisfaction with the variety (77%), amount (75%), and management (68%) of wildlife in the state. Rural residents are more likely to be satisfied than urban residents.

One-fifth of the residents reported dissatisfaction with the management of wildlife, while 18% are dissatisfied with the amount and 15% are dissatisfied with the variety of wildlife in Illinois. Urban and younger residents are more likely to be dissatisfied with the variety, amount, and management of wildlife.

When asked about who (state, county, or city) should regulate and control wildlife in Illinois, most (60%) chose the state, followed by county (31%) and city (3%).

Summary and Conclusions

Almost all respondents said that wildlife is important to them. Their concerns about wildlife focus on damage to vehicles, crops, homes and landscape. Almost one-third of the residents believe that a particular habitat can support an unlimited amount of wildlife. Two-thirds believe in protecting endangered species, even if the cost is high. Residents are divided in their support for state programs to increase hunted wildlife, but almost all of them believe wildlife and conservation education in schools is important. Almost three-fourths of the residents support slight cost increases in outdoor products to develop wildlife preservation programs.

Most Illinois residents are satisfied with the variety, amount, and management of wildlife in the state. They not only want the state to maintain and preserve wildlife, they also are willing to support non-hunted wildlife programs.

We found differences in subgroups (region, gender, and age) on some issues and topics. However, it is not clear whether these differences are due to differences in knowledge of wildlife, exposure to wildlife-related activities, access to wildlife, or simply personal preferences. Further research in this area could be useful in promoting a better understanding of the differences of among subgroups. This knowledge could be useful for the wildlife managers in planning and targeting resources.

II. DETAILED RESULTS

AWARENESS ABOUT WILDLIFE

Table 1: Importance of Wildlife to Illinois Residents

Importance of wildlife	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Not at all important (1)	6%	7%	4%	5%	6%	5%	6%	7%
Moderately Important (2)	37%	38%	35%	37%	38%	36%	36%	40%
Very Important (3)	57%	55%	60%	58%	55%	58%	58%	52%
Mean	2.51	2.48	2.57 ^b	2.53	2.49	2.53	2.52	2.46
Standard Deviation	0.61	0.68	0.57	0.59	0.62	0.60	0.62	0.56

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different ($\text{sig}=.05$) from the column represented by the letter.

- Wildlife is important to almost all (94%) residents, regardless of gender and age.
- Only 6% of residents feel that wildlife is "not at all important."
- Rural county residents reported wildlife to be significantly more important to them (mean of 2.57) than wildlife is to the urban county residents (mean of 2.48).

Table 2: Extent of Missing Interaction with Wildlife

Would you miss interaction with wildlife?	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	699	338	361	285	414	202	302	188
Not at all (1)	4%	5%	3%	3%	6%	4%	4%	5%
Some (2)	27%	27%	29%	26%	29%	23%	27%	35%
Very Much (3)	67%	67%	68%	70%	65%	72% ^h	69% ^h	59%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different ($\text{sig}=.05$) from the column represented by the letter.

- Almost all residents said they would miss interaction (observing, feeding, and photographing) with wildlife. More than two-thirds (67%) indicated they would miss wildlife "very much."

Table 3: Views of Wildlife in Society

Views of wildlife in society	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485 ⁱ	243	330	221
Same as people	24%	28% ^c	15%	23%	25%	31% ^{gh}	23%	17%
Same as pets	47%	46%	49%	46%	48%	48%	52% ^h	40%
Same as livestock	22%	18%	28% ^b	23%	20%	16%	20%	29% ^{fg}
All of these	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	1%
None of these	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	4%	4%	11% ^{fg}

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different ($\text{sig}=.05$) from the column represented by the letter.

- When asked about place of wildlife in society, most (47%) residents perceive it as they do pets, followed by people (24%), and livestock (22%).
- Interestingly, while almost one-fourth of the residents placed wildlife the same as people in the society, significantly more urban than rural residents (28% versus 15%) and younger than older residents (31% versus 23% and 17%) agreed.

Table 4: Animals Commonly Associated with Wildlife

Animals associated with wildlife	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Deer	48%	45%	53% ^b	53% ^c	44%	55% ^h	46%	43%
Birds	11%	12%	9%	7%	15%	7%	14%	12%
Bears	5%	5%	3%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%
Raccoons	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	4%
Tigers	3%	3%	1%	1%	4%	5%	2%	1%
Lions	3%	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%
Rabbits	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	1%	2%	4%
Fish	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%
Ducks	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Squirrels	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different ($\text{sig}=.05$) from the column represented by the letter.

- Almost half (48%) of the residents identified deer as being a kind of wildlife, followed by birds (11%) and bears (5%). There were some differences according to region, age and gender.
- More rural than urban residents (53% versus 45%), men than women (53% versus 44%), and younger than older residents (55% versus 46% and 43%) were likely to identify deer with wildlife.

Table 5: Favorite Wildlife of Illinois Residents

Favorite wildlife	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Deer	33%	28%	42% ^b	33%	33%	34%	33%	32%
Birds	15%	15%	15%	12%	17%	7%	18% ^f	20% ^f
Fish	3%	2%	4%	4% ^c	1%	4%	3%	1%
Raccoons	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Lions	2%	3%	0%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
Wolves	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
Tigers	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%
Bears	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
Squirrels	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%	1%	5%
Rabbits	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%
Cats	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different ($\text{sig}=.05$) from the column represented by the letter.

- Over half of the residents said that deer (33%), birds (15%), and fish (3%) are their favorite wildlife.
- Some differences were observed in preferences according to region, gender, and age. Rural residents were significantly more likely than urban residents to identify deer as their favorite wildlife (42% versus 28%), while older people chose birds, and men chose fish as their favorite wildlife.

Table 6: Proportion of Residents Saying "Yes" to Participation in Wildlife-Related Activities

Have participated in wildlife-related activities in the last year	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Observed wildlife	81%	78%	86% ^b	83%	78%	78%	87% ^h	77%
Fed wildlife	43%	37%	54% ^b	42%	44%	35%	48% ^f	48% ^f
Photographed wildlife	25%	26%	23%	29%	22%	31% ^h	31%	12%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different ($\text{sig} = .05$) from the column represented by the letter.

- While most (81%) have observed wildlife, significantly more rural than urban residents (86% versus 78%) and middle-aged than younger or older residents report observing wildlife.
- While slightly less than half (43%) have fed wildlife, significantly more rural (54%) and older residents (48%) have fed wildlife.
- A quarter of the residents have photographed wildlife. Younger residents were more likely to have photographed wildlife than those 55 or older.

Table 7: Frequency of Participation in Wildlife-Related Activities

Frequency of participation	Observed Wildlife	Photographed Wildlife	Fed Wildlife
Sample Size	671	208	382
Every day	45%	1%	32%
About once a week	20%	6%	26%
About once a month	15%	25%	17%
Less than once a month	20%	67%	24%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- When asked about the frequency of participation in wildlife-related activities, slightly less than half of those who have observed wildlife observed every day. Among those who photographed wildlife, two-thirds photographed wildlife less than once a month.

CONCERNS ABOUT DAMAGE BY WILDLIFE

Table 8: Concerns about Damage by Wildlife

How concerned?	Concerned about damage by wildlife to agricultural crops	Concerned about damage by wildlife to homes and landscape	Concerned about deer collisions with vehicles
Sample Size	805	805	805
Not at all concerned (1)	29%	47%	14%
Somewhat concerned (2)	51%	38%	43%
Very concerned (3)	18%	15%	42%
Mean	1.88	1.68	2.29
Standard Deviation	0.68	0.72	0.70

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- Illinois residents are concerned about damage by wildlife to agricultural crops (69%), homes and landscape (53%), and deer collisions (85%). This trend was consistent irrespective of rural or urban residence and gender. However, significantly more older than younger respondents were "very concerned" about these dangers.

Table 9: Kinds of Damage Occurring by Wildlife
 (Only respondents who reported suffering damage by wildlife are included.)

Kinds of damage	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+
Sample Size	148	78	70	64	84	38	73	37
Damage to landscape	40%	44%	31%	44%	35%	35%	35%	49%
Damage to houses	19%	23%	12%	18%	20%	12%	23%	22%
Damage to vehicles	10%	4%	21%	7%	13%	14%	14%	-
Damage to crops	7%	3%	15%	7%	8%	6%	11%	4%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- Only 18% of the residents reported damage by wildlife. Of those who had suffered damage by wildlife, 40% reported damage to landscape, followed by damage to houses (19%), damage to vehicles (10%), and damage to crops (7%).

Table 10: Animals Causing the Damage
 (Only respondents who reported suffering damage by wildlife are included.)

Animals causing damage	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+
Sample Size	148	78	70	64	84	37	73	37
Deer	29%	23%	41%	24%	33%	31%	33%	18%
Squirrels	17%	21%	11%	17%	18%	12%	20%	20%
Rabbits	15%	13%	19%	14%	16%	14%	18%	13%
Raccoons	15%	16%	11%	13%	17%	19%	16%	14%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- Of the residents who suffered damage by wildlife, most (29%) reported damage by deer, followed by squirrels (17%), rabbits (15%), and raccoons (15%). The trend was consistent irrespective of regional, gender or age differences.

Table 11: Proportion of Residents Indicating "Yes" to Different Ways of Dealing with the Problem of Wildlife Damage

Ways of dealing with problem	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+
Sample Size	148	78	70	64	84	37	73	37
Tolerated	81%	79%	83%	76%	85%	78%	79%	84%
Attempted to solve the problem by themselves	63%	69%	50%	66%	59%	71%	63%	56%
Called a friend	16%	13%	22%	14%	17%	18%	13%	18%
Attempted to kill	13%	9%	20%	18%	7%	21%	10%	10%
Hired a professional	9%	9%	10%	6%	8%	3%	13%	8%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- When asked how they dealt with the problem, 81% said they simply tolerated it. Nearly two-thirds (63%) attempted to solve the problem themselves. Smaller numbers hired professionals (9%) or attempted to kill the offending animal (13%).

OPINIONS ON SELECTED ISSUES

Table 12: Wildlife Habitat and Amount of Wildlife

Can a particular habitat support an unlimited amount of wildlife?	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Yes	31%	29%	36% ^b	29%	33%	36% ^g	27%	31%
No	59%	61%	56%	66% ^c	53%	59%	62%	56%
Don't know	9%	10%	8%	5%	13% ^d	6%	10%	12% ^f

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different ($\text{sig} = .05$) from the column represented by the letter.

- When asked if a particular habitat can support an unlimited amount of wildlife, most residents (59%) said "no," while 31% reported "yes."
- Significantly more rural than urban (36% versus 29%) and younger than older (36% versus 27%) residents said "yes."

Table 13: Protection of Endangered Species

Should endangered species be protected even if the cost is high?	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Yes	64%	66%	62%	67%	63%	73% ^h	64% ^h	54%
No	29%	27%	31%	26%	31%	24%	27%	37% ^{ig}
Don't know	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%	2%	8%	9%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different ($\text{sig}=.05$) from the column represented by the letter.

- Almost two-thirds (64%) of the residents believe that endangered species should be protected even if the cost is high in terms of dollars and jobs. No significant differences were observed between residents of rural and urban counties, or between male and female respondents. Younger and middle-aged residents, however, were more inclined to support such protection than older residents.

Table 14: Causes for Species to Become Endangered

Causes of species endangerment	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Harm done to wildlife living areas	71%	68%	76% ^b	78% ^c	65%	74%	75%	63%
Hunting	27%	30% ^c	22%	20%	33%	25%	23%	33%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different ($\text{sig}=.05$) from the column represented by the letter.

- Most (71%) residents believe that depletion of wildlife living areas causes species to become endangered. Only 27% believe that hunting causes species to become endangered.

Table 15: Feelings of Illinois Residents about Hunting

Views on hunting	Hunting for Sports	Hunting for Food	Hunting for Wild Animal Population Control	Hunting to Reduce Risk of Disease
Sample Size	805	805	805	805
View Negatively (1)	64%	24%	26%	15%
Undecided (2)	16%	20%	25%	31%
View Favorably (3)	20%	54%	48%	51%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- Feelings of residents toward hunting vary according to what the hunting is done for. Almost two-thirds (64%) of the residents feel negatively if hunting is done for sport. Much lower proportions of residents feel negatively about hunting if it is for animal population control (26%), for food (24%), or for controlling the risk of disease (15%).

**Table 16: Importance of Wildlife and Conservation Education
in Schools**

Importance of wildlife and conservation education in schools	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Not at all important	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	4%
Moderately important	32%	30%	35%	31%	32%	32%	31%	34%
Very important	65%	66%	62%	66%	64%	67%	66%	59%
Mean	2.63	2.64	2.60	2.65	2.61	2.65	2.64	2.57
Standard Deviation	0.54	0.53	0.55	0.52	0.55	0.50	0.53	0.57

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- Residents, as a whole and across subgroups, view wildlife and conservation education to be important. Less than 5% of residents with different backgrounds view such education as "not at all important."

**Table 17: Percentage of Illinois Residents Saying "Yes"
to Selected Questions**

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Awareness about state programs supporting non-hunted wildlife	15%	13%	20% ^b	19% ^c	12%	12%	18% ^f	16%
Support for state program to increase hunted wildlife	47%	43%	56% ^b	58% ^c	38%	46%	48%	49%
Support for outdoor product cost increase	72%	73%	71%	75%	70%	80% ^h	79% ^h	56%
Awareness about contribution for hunting/products sales	36%	29%	48% ^b	47% ^c	26%	29%	40% ^f	40% ^f

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different (sig=.05) from the column represented by the letter.

State Program

- Overall, only 15% of the residents reported being aware of state programs supporting non-hunted wildlife. When compared among different subgroups, significantly more rural than urban residents (20% versus 13%), male than female residents (19% versus 12%), and middle-aged than younger or older residents (18% versus 12% and 16%) were aware of state programs to support non-hunted animals.

- When asked if they would support state programs to increase hunted wildlife, about half of the residents said "yes," but not without some minor differences among various subgroups. Significantly more rural residents than urban (56% versus 43%) and male than female respondents (58% versus 38%) supported the programs to increase hunted wildlife.

Outdoor Product Sales

- One-third of the residents were aware that part of the cost of hunting and hunting products goes toward wildlife and conservation. Again, significantly more rural than urban residents (48% versus 29%), male than female residents (47% versus 26%), and middle-aged than younger residents (40% versus 29%) were aware of this fact.
- When asked if they would support a slight increase in the cost of outdoor products to increase or preserve wildlife, over two-thirds (72%) answered affirmatively, irrespective of regional or gender differences. Older respondents, however, were less likely to support the increase in cost.

**Table 18: Residents' Choices of Agencies to Regulate
Wildlife in Illinois**

Who should regulate and control wildlife?	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
State	59%	61%	55%	63% ^c	55%	59%	59%	59%
County	31%	29%	35%	27%	35% ^d	33%	32%	30%
City	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%
All of the above	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%
None of the above	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	1%	1%	2%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different (sig=.05) from the column represented by the letter.

- Most residents (59%) chose the state, followed by the city (31%), to control and regulate wildlife in Illinois. The trend was consistent irrespective of regional and age differences among residents.

SATISFACTION WITH WILDLIFE

**Table 19: Mean Satisfaction with
State's Wildlife Resources and Management**

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
	(a)	Urban (b)	Rural (c)	Male (d)	Female (e)	18-34 (f)	35-54 (g)	55+ (h)
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Satisfaction with variety of wildlife	2.90	2.85	3.00 ^b	2.93	2.88	2.81	2.98 ^f	2.93
Satisfaction with amount of wildlife	2.87	2.81	2.99 ^b	2.91	2.84	2.82	2.90	2.91
Satisfaction with management of wildlife	2.79	2.74	2.89 ^b	2.82	2.77	2.75	2.82	2.84

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

A number with a raised letter denotes that it is significantly different (sig=.05) from the column represented by the letter.

- Residents were asked to rate their satisfaction with the variety, amount, and management of wildlife in Illinois. On the four-point scale of Very Dissatisfied (1), Dissatisfied (2), Satisfied (3), and Very Satisfied (4), residents reported satisfaction with the variety (mean of 2.90), amount (2.87), and management (2.79) of wildlife. No differences in mean satisfaction were observed according to gender. However, the mean satisfaction of rural residents was consistently and significantly higher than the mean satisfaction of urban residents for each category.

Table 20: Proportion of Residents Satisfied and Dissatisfied with Wildlife

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Dissatisfaction with variety of wildlife	15%	18%	12%	15%	16%	22%	12%	11%
Satisfaction with variety of wildlife	77%	74%	86%	80%	75%	75%	82%	76%
Dissatisfaction with amount of wildlife	18%	21%	12%	17%	18%	23%	15%	13%
Satisfaction with amount of wildlife	75%	71%	83%	78%	72%	73%	78%	74%
Dissatisfaction with management of wildlife	20%	23%	15%	20%	20%	23%	21%	14%
Satisfaction with management of wildlife	68%	63%	78%	71%	66%	67%	70%	68%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- When comparing the proportions of dissatisfied and satisfied residents on the variety, amount and management of wildlife, 15% reported dissatisfaction with the variety, 18% with the amount, and 20% with the management of wildlife in Illinois. Urban and younger residents were more likely to be dissatisfied than rural and middle-aged and older residents.

III. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Table 21: Background Information on Participating Illinois Residents

Characteristics	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Male	47%	46%	47%	-	-	50%	47%	45%
Female	53%	54%	53%	-	-	50%	53%	55%
Membership in environmental organization	11%	11%	11%	12%	10%	10%	12%	11%
White	76%	69%	88%	78%	74%	75%	74%	81%
Black	16%	21%	7%	14%	19%	17%	20%	12%
Hispanic	3%	5%	1%	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- The sample studied was almost equally split between men (47%) and women (53%). Three-fourths of the sample were white, 16% were black, and 3% were Hispanic.
- A small number (11%) of residents reported belonging to an environmental organization.

Table 22: Respondents' Sources of Information About Wildlife

Sources of Information	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Print media	46%	45%	49%	47%	46%	39%	46%	56%
Television	24%	26%	20%	23%	24%	23%	29%	18%
School	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	1%
Membership in organizations	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	0%
State park	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- Nearly half of the residents reported receiving information about wildlife through print media (newspapers, magazines, books, literature, National Geographic), while another quarter got their information via television. Only 1% or 2% reported receiving information from state parks, environmental organizations or school.

Table 23: Concern about Getting Diseases from Wildlife

Concerned about getting diseases from wildlife	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+
Sample Size	805	404	401	320	485	243	330	221
Not at all concerned (1)	42%	42%	43%	46%	39%	4%	43%	40%
Somewhat concerned (2)	32%	32%	32%	31%	33%	32%	33%	31%
Very concerned (3)	25%	26%	23%	23%	27%	23%	24%	28%
Mean	1.82	1.84	1.80	1.76	1.88	1.79	1.81	1.89
Standard Deviation	0.80	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.82

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- Over half (57%) of residents said they were concerned about getting diseases from wildlife. One-quarter indicated they were "very concerned".

Table 24: Who Concern is for about Getting Diseases from Wildlife
 (Only respondents who reported concern about getting diseases from wildlife are included.)

Concerned about getting diseases from wildlife for	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		AGE CATEGORIES		
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+
Sample Size	446	227	219	162	284	134	178	129
Myself or family member	45%	47%	41%	44%	46%	44%	47%	44%
Pets or livestock	10%	9%	13%	8%	12%	10%	7%	14%
All of above	42%	42%	42%	45%	39%	44%	43%	38%

Notes: Percentages may not total to 100 due to rounding and omitting certain responses.

- Of the participants who expressed concern about getting diseases from wildlife, almost half (45%) said they were most concerned for themselves or members of their family.

Appendix A:

Survey

HARD COPY REQUIRED

CRT

FINANCE, WIL30341
F341

FIELD FINAL - MAY 22, 1996

AC1920

Project Registration #105926

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

Urbana, Illinois

Wildlife Study

The Gallup Organization

Max Larsen Ajay Bhardwai /

Brad Burke, Specwriter

May, 1996

n=800

 X APPROVED BY CLIENT

DATE _____

Copyright, The Gallup Organization

INTERVIEWED BY _____

I.D.#:

 0 (1-6)

**AREA CODE AND TELEPHONE NUMBER: () _____

(32 - 41)

**INTERVIEW TIME: -----

(42) (43)

Hello, this is _____ calling from The Gallup
Organization of Lincoln, Nebraska. We are conducting
this Gallup Survey to understand how Illinois citizens
view the State's wildlife resources and its management.

1 Available - (Continue)

7 Not available - (Set time to call back)

9 (Refused) - (Thank & Terminate)

 (514)

S1. In what county is your household located? (Open ended
and code from hard copy)

001 Adams	069 Hardin	137 Morgan
003 Alexander	071 Henderson	139 Moultrie
005 Bond	073 Henry	141 Ogle
007 Boone	075 Iroquois	143 Peoria
009 Brown	077 Jackson	145 Perry
011 Bureau	079 Jasper	147 Piatt
013 Calhoun	081 Jefferson	149 Pike
015 Carroll	083 Jersey	151 Pope
017 Cass	085 Jo Davies	153 Pulaski
019 Champaign	087 Johnson	155 Putnam
021 Christian	089 Kane	157 Randolph
023 Clark	091 Kankakee	159 Richland
025 Clay	093 Kendall	161 Rock Island
027 Clinton	095 Knox	163 St. Clair
029 Coles	097 Lake	165 Saline
031 Cook	099 La Salle	167 Sangamon
033 Crawford	101 Lawrence	169 Schuyler
035 Cumberland	103 Lee	171 Scott
037 De Kalb	105 Livingston	173 Shelby
039 De Witt	107 Logan	175 Stark
041 Douglas	109 Mc Donough	177 Stephenson
043 Du Page	111 Mc Henry	179 Tazewell
045 Edger	113 Mc Lean	181 Union
047 Edwards	115 Macon	183 Vermilion
049 Effingham	117 Macoupin	185 Wabash
051 Fayette	119 Madison	187 Warren
053 Ford	121 Marion	189 Washington
055 Franklin	123 Marshall	191 Wayne
057 Fulton	125 Mason	193 White
059 Gallatin	127 Massac	195 Whiteside
061 Greene	129 Menard	197 Will
063 Grundy	131 Mercer	199 Williamson
065 Hamilton	133 Monroe	201 Winnebago
067 Hancock	135 Montgomery	203 Woodford

997 Other (do NOT list) - (Thank and Terminate)

998 (DK) (Code from fone file)

999 (Refused) (Code from fone file)

(515) (516) (517)

S2. REGION: (Code from S1)

- 1 Urban (n=400)
(code 031, 043, 089, 097, 111 or 197)
- 2 Rural (n=400)
(All others)

(518)

S3. How many adults, age 18 and older, including yourself, live in this household? (Open ended and code actual number)

00 None - (Thank and Terminate)

01 One - (Ask to speak to that person;
Skip to "READ" before #1)

02-

97 (Continue)

98 (DK) (Thank and Terminate)

99 (Refused) (Thank and Terminate)

(519) (520)

S4. Of those (response in S3) adults, who had the most recent birthday? May I please speak to him/her?

1 Yes, Male available (Continue)

2 Yes, Female available (Continue)

3 Not available - (Set time to call back)

4 (DK) (Thank and Terminate)

5 (Refused) (Thank and Terminate)

(521)

(READ:) You have been selected as one of a random sample of adults in the State of Illinois with whom we wish to discuss the State's wildlife resources and its management. (Your confidential responses would be used to better manage State's wildlife resources, and to educate and inform citizens on issues concerning wildlife.)

1. Please tell me how important wildlife is to you? Wildlife is generally defined as all hunted and nonhunted wild animals, particularly birds and mammals, excluding fish. Is wildlife very important, moderately important, or not at all important?

1 Not at all important

2 Moderately important

3 Very important

4 (DK)

5 (Refused)

(522)

2. What types of animals do you think of when using the word "wildlife"? (Open ended) (Allow three responses)

- 01 Other (list)
- 02 (DK)
- 03 (Refused)
- 04 None
- 05 HOLD

1st
Resp:

QN2A

(523) (524)

2nd
Resp:

QN2B

(525) (526)

3rd
Resp:

QN2C

(527) (528)

3. What is your FAVORITE type of wildlife? (Open ended)

- 01 Other (list)
- 02 (DK)
- 03 (Refused)
- 04 None
- 05 HOLD

QN3

(529) (530)

4. Have you (read and rotate A-C) in the last year?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 (DK)
- 4 (Refused)

A. Observed wildlife

____ (531)

B. Photographed wildlife

____ (532)

C. Fed wildlife

____ (533)

(If code "2-4" to ALL in #4 A-C, Skip to #7;
Otherwise, Continue)

5. (For each code "1" in #4 A-C, ask:) How often have you
(read and rotate A-C, as appropriate) in the last year?
(Read 4-1)

4 Every day
3 About once a week
2 About once a month, OR
1 Less often than once a month

5 (DK)
6 (Refused)

- A. Observed wildlife _____ (534)
B. Photographed wildlife _____ (535)
C. Fed wildlife _____ (536)

6. How much would you miss wildlife in your life if you
could not interact (observe, photograph or feed) with it?
Would you miss it very much, some, or not at all?

1 Not at all
2 Some
3 Very much
4 (DK)
5 (Refused)

_____ (537)

7. From your perspective, where do you place wildlife
animals in society? Would it be the (read 1-3)?

1 Same as people
2 Same as pets, OR
3 Same as livestock
4 (All of these)
5 (None of these)
6 (DK)
7 (Refused)

_____ (538)

8. Do you think that a particular wildlife habitat can support an unlimited number of wildlife?
- 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 (DK)
 - 4 (Refused)
- _____ (539)
9. Do you believe endangered species of wildlife should be protected even if the cost is high in terms of dollars and lost jobs?
- 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 (DK)
 - 4 (Refused)
- _____ (540)
10. Which of the following do you believe contributes more to causing species to become endangered? (Read 1-2)
- 1 Harm done to or destruction of living areas of wildlife, OR
 - 2 Hunting
 - 3 (DK)
 - 4 (Refused)
- _____ (541)
11. In general, how do you feel about hunting (read and rotate A-D)? Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
- 1 Negatively
 - 2 Undecided
 - 3 Favorably
 - 4 (DK)
 - 5 (Refused)
- A. For sport _____ (542)
- B. For food _____ (543)
- C. For wild animal population control _____ (544)
- D. To reduce risk of diseases _____ (545)

12. Have you or has anyone in your household hunted or trapped wildlife in the past three years?

1 Yes
2 No
3 (DK)
4 (Refused)

____ (546)

13. To what extent are you concerned about (read and rotate A-D)? Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, or not at all concerned?

1 Not at all concerned
2 Somewhat concerned
3 Very concerned
4 (DK)
5 (Refused)

A. Damages from wildlife to agricultural crops _____ (547)

B. Damages from wildlife to homes and landscape _____ (548)

C. Vehicle collisions with deer _____ (549)

D. Getting diseases from wildlife _____ (550)

(If code "1" or "4-5" in #13-D, Skip to #15;
Otherwise, Continue)

14. (If code "2" or "3" in #13-D, ask:) For whom are you most concerned about the threat or danger of getting diseases from wildlife? Are you most concerned for yourself or members of your family, your pets or livestock, or you, your family, pets and livestock?

1 Me, my family, pets and livestock
2 My pets or livestock, OR
3 Myself or members of my family
4 (DK)
5 (Refused)

____ (551)

15. Has wildlife damaged any property belonging to you or anyone in your household in the past year?

1 Yes - (Continue)
2 No (Skip to #19)
3 (DK) (Skip to #19)
4 (Refused) (Skip to #19)

____ (552)

16. (If code "1" in #15, ask:) Referring to the most recent incident, what kind of damage occurred? (Open ended)

- 01 Other (list)
- 02 (DK)
- 03 (Refused)
- 04 HOLD
- 05 HOLD

QN16

(553) (554)

17. What animal(s) caused the damage? (Open ended) (Allow three responses)

- 01 Other (list)
- 02 (DK)
- 03 (Refused)
- 04 HOLD
- 05 HOLD

QN17A

1st
Resp:

(555) (556)

2nd
Resp:

QN17B

(557) (558)

3rd
Resp:

QN17C

(559) (560)

18. Did you (read and rotate A-E)?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 (DK)
- 4 (Refused)

- A. Attempt to solve the problem yourself _____ (561)
- B. Have a friend or acquaintance deal with it _____ (562)
- C. Hire a pest or animal control professional _____ (563)
- D. Tolerate the nuisance _____ (564)
- E. Kill or attempt to kill the offending animal _____ (565)

19. How important is it that wildlife and conservation education be taught in schools? Is it very important, moderately important, or not at all important?
- 1 Not at all important
 - 2 Moderately important
 - 3 Very important
 - 4 (DK)
 - 5 (Refused)
- _____ (566)
20. Are you aware of any state programs designed to increase non-hunted wildlife by the Illinois Department of Natural resources (formerly called the Department of Conservation)?
- 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 (DK)
 - 4 (Refused)
- _____ (567)
21. Do you support state programs designed to maintain or increase numbers of game or hunted animals?
- 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 (DK)
 - 4 (Refused)
- _____ (568)
22. Would you support a slight increase in the cost of outdoor products such as, binoculars and camping equipment to pay for programs to maintain or increase wildlife?
- 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 (DK)
 - 4 (Refused)
- _____ (569)
23. Are you aware that part of the cost of hunting and hunting products goes toward wildlife and conservation?
- 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 (DK)
 - 4 (Refused)
- _____ (570)

24. Who do you feel should regulate and control wildlife in Illinois? Should it be (read 1-3)?

- 1 State
- 2 County, OR
- 3 City

- 4 (All of these)
- 5 (None of these)
- 6 (DK)
- 7 (Refused)

_____ (571)

25. How would you rate your satisfaction with (read and rotate A-C) in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

- 1 Very dissatisfied
- 2 Dissatisfied
- 3 Satisfied
- 4 Very satisfied
- 5 (DK)
- 6 (Refused)

A. The variety of wildlife

_____ (572)

B. The amount of wildlife

_____ (573)

C. The management of wildlife

_____ (574)

(DEMOGRAPHICS)

(READ:) The following questions are for classification purposes only.

D1. GENDER: (Do not ask; code only)

- 1 Male
- 2 Female

_____ (44)

(DEMOGRAPHICS CONTINUED)

D2. Where do you get most of your information about wildlife?
(Open ended)

- 01 Other (list)
- 02 (DK)
- 03 (Refused)
- 04 None/Don't receive information
- 05 HOLD

QND2

(612) (613)

D3. Do you currently belong to any conservation or environmental organizations?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 (DK)
- 4 (Refused)

(614)

D4. Please tell me your age. (Open ended and code actual age)

- 00 (Refused)
- 99 99+

(45) (46)

D5. Are you, yourself, of Hispanic origin or descent, such as Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or other Spanish background?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 (DK)
- 4 (Refused)

(620)

(DEMOGRAPHICS CONTINUED)

1
D6. What is your race? Are you white, African-American, or some other race?

- 01 Some other race (list)
- 02 (DK)
- 03 (Refused)
- 04 HOLD
- 05 HOLD

- 06 White
- 07 African-American/Black

- 08 (Hispanic) - (Continue)

QND6

(615) (616)

D7. (If code "08" in D6, ask:) Do you consider yourself to be white-Hispanic, or black-Hispanic?

- 01 Other (list)
- 02 (DK)
- 03 (Refused)
- 04 HOLD
- 05 HOLD

- 06 White-Hispanic
- 07 Black-Hispanic
- 08 (Hispanic/Respondent refuses to discriminate)

QND7

(617) (618)

(VALIDATE PHONE NUMBER AND THANK RESPONDENT)

INTERVIEWER I.D.#:

(241) (242) (243) (244)

Appendix B:

Crosstabs

Notes for Appendix B: Crosstabs

Question 2. Respondents were allowed three responses for naming the type of animal when thinking of wildlife. Those animal types mentioned first are listed in TABLE 006 (note: "1st of 3" at end of question). Whereas, TABLE 007 shows the total times a particular animal type was mentioned, regardless of whether it was mentioned 1st, 2nd, or 3rd. Example: deer was the first response for 385 respondents (shown in TABLE 006), but deer was mentioned 472 times, including the 2nd and 3rd choices.

Question D2. This was an open-ended question allowing the respondent to indicate where they receive most of their information about wildlife. TABLE 050 lists all the responses separately. TABLE 051 combines responses from TABLE 050 into categories as follows:

Print media = Magazine + Books + Reading/literature + Newspaper + Mail + Library +
National Geographic (magazine)

Television = Television + Discovery Channel + News/media

Others = Word of mouth + Observing

Membership = Belong to a specific organization

School = School

State park = State parks

Other = Miscellaneous sources

TABLE 001
S1. In what county is your household located?

	REGION				GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural		Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	155 20%	165 20%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	15 2%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	826 100%	528 64%	298 36%	375 45%	471 57%	355 43%	90 11%	736 89%	275 33%	289 35%	261 32%	611 74%	131 16%	27 3%	12 1%
Adams	7 100%	-	73 100%	3 4%	4 5%	-	7 100%	3 41%	2 29%	2 30%	3 38%	5 78%	-	-	11 13%
Boone	2 100%	-	23 100%	1 4%	1 4%	1 34%	1 66%	-	1 67%	1 33%	2 100%	-	-	-	-
Bureau	2 100%	-	23 100%	1 4%	1 4%	-	2 100%	-	1 34%	1 66%	2 100%	-	-	-	-
Cass	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	1 55%	1 45%	-	-	1 100%	-	-	-
Champaign	9 100%	-	93 100%	3 3%	6 6%	1 1%	9 94%	3 30%	3 36%	3 34%	9 94%	-	-	-	-
Christian	5 100%	-	53 100%	3 6%	2 4%	1 13%	3 71%	1 18%	2 50%	2 32%	5 100%	-	-	-	-
Clark	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	-	-
Clay	1 100%	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	-	-
Clinton	4 100%	-	43 100%	2 5%	2 5%	2 53%	2 47%	1 35%	1 28%	2 37%	4 100%	-	-	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 1

TABLE 001 (continued)
S1. In what county is your household located?

	REGION				GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural		Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
Coles	4 100%	-	43 100%	1 2%	3 7%	-	4 100%	1 16%	1 27%	2 57%	4 100%	-	-	-	-
Cook	359 100%	359C 100%	-	170 47%	190 53%	34 9%	324 91%	123 34%	128 36%	99 28%	238 67%	103K 29%	21K 6%	9 2%	89%
Crawford	2 100%	-	23 100%	-	2 100%	-	2 100%	-	-	2 100%	2 100%	-	-	-	-
Cumberland	1 100%	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	-	-	-	-
De Kalb	4 100%	-	48 100%	2 4%	1 2%	1 1%	3 8%	1 23%	1 33%	2 43%	4 100%	-	-	-	-
Douglas	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	-	-	-
Du Page	60 100%	60C 100%	-	33 55%	27 45%	10 16%	50 84%	301J 60%	16 27%	12 21%	56L 94%	4 6%	-	-	-
Edger	1 100%	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	-	-	-
Effingham	2 100%	-	23 100%	2 100%	-	-	2 100%	2 71%	1 29%	-	2 100%	-	-	-	-
Fayette	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	-	-	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 2

TABLE 001 (continued)
S1. In what county is your household located?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Ford	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Franklin	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 51% 0%	1 49% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 51% 0%	1 49% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Fulton	3 100% 0%	-	3 100% 1%	-	3 100% 1%	-	3 100% 0%	1 21% 0%	1 34% 0%	1 44% 1%	3 100% 0%	-	-	-
Greene	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Grundy	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Hamilton	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 57% 0%	1 43% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Hardin	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Henderson	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Henry	4 100% 1%	-	4 100% 2%	2 39% 0%	2 61% 1%	2 38% 2%	3 62% 0%	-	2 46% 1%	2 54% 1%	4 100% 1%	-	-	-
Irroquois	3 100% 0%	-	3 100% 1%	2 57% 0%	1 43% 0%	-	3 100% 0%	2 78% 1%	-	1 22% 0%	3 100% 0%	-	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1995, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 3

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1995

TABLE 001 (continued)
S1. In what county is your household located?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Jackson	3 100% 0%	-	3 100% 1%	1 21% 0%	2 79% 1%	-	3 100% 0%	1 19% 0%	2 61% 1%	1 20% 0%	2 76% 0%	1 24% 1%	-	-
Jefferson	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 1%	1 37% 0%	1 63% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	-	1 64% 0%	1 38% 0%	2 100% 0%	-	-	-
Jersey	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Jo Davies	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 1%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Johnson	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 1%	1 37% 0%	1 63% 0%	1 72% 1%	1 28% 0%	-	1 64% 0%	1 36% 0%	2 100% 0%	-	-	-
Kane	21 100% 3%	21 100% 4%	-	10 48% 3%	11 54% 3%	3 13% 3%	18 87% 2%	4 19% 1%	9 44% 3%	8 37% 3%	18 87% 3%	1 7% 1%	1 7% 5%	-
Kankakee	6 100% 1%	-	6 100% 2%	3 50% 1%	3 50% 1%	2 25% 2%	5 75% 1%	1 10% 0%	3 51% 1%	2 39% 1%	5 87% 1%	1 13% 1%	-	-
Kendall	5 100% 1%	-	5 100% 2%	4 76% 1%	1 24% 0%	1 14% 1%	4 84% 1%	1 17% 0%	3 52% 1%	2 31% 1%	5 100% 1%	-	-	-
Knox	3 100% 0%	-	3 100% 1%	1 26% 0%	2 74% 0%	1 25% 1%	2 75% 0%	-	1 26% 0%	2 74% 1%	3 100% 0%	-	-	-
Lake	41 100% 5%	41 100% 6%	-	18 44% 5%	23 56% 5%	6 15% 7%	35 85% 5%	15 36% 5%	17 42% 6%	9 22% 4%	41 100% 7%	-	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1995, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 4

TABLE 001 (continued)
S1. In what county is your household located?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
La Salle	10 100% 1%	-	103 100% 3%	5 47% 1%	5 53% 1%	2 21% 2%	8 79% 1%	4 44% 2%	3 34% 1%	2 23% 1%	8 81% 1%	1 13% 1%	-	-
Lee	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 1%	1 54% 0%	1 46% 0%	1 54% 1%	1 46% 0%	1 54% 0%	-	1 46% 0%	1 54% 0%	-	-	-
Livingston	3 100% 0%	-	33 100% 1%	2 65% 0%	1 40% 0%	-	3 100% 0%	-	1 18% 0%	2 82% 1%	3 100% 0%	-	-	-
Logan	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Mc Donough	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Mc Henry	17 100% 2%	170 100% 3%	-	8 48% 2%	9 52% 2%	3 20% 4%	14 88% 2%	10 57% 4%	6 34% 2%	2 9% 1%	17 88% 3%	-	-	-
Mc Lean	10 100% 1%	-	108 100% 3%	6 52% 2%	4 38% 1%	-	10 100% 1%	5 55% 2%	2 25% 1%	2 20% 1%	8 86% 1%	1 14% 1%	-	-
Macon	6 100% 1%	-	68 100% 2%	3 51% 1%	3 49% 1%	1 9% 1%	5 91% 1%	2 38% 1%	4 62% 1%	-	5 87% 1%	1 13% 1%	-	-
Macoupin	3 100% 0%	-	38 100% 1%	1 25% 0%	2 74% 0%	1 26% 1%	2 74% 0%	-	2 75% 1%	1 25% 0%	2 70% 0%	1 30% 1%	-	-
Madison	13 100% 2%	-	133 100% 5%	6 44% 2%	7 56% 2%	1 5% 1%	13 95% 2%	2 16% 1%	7 50% 2%	5 33% 2%	12 87% 2%	1 8% 1%	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 5

TABLE 001 (continued)
S1. In what county is your household located?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Marion	5 100% 1%	-	58 100% 2%	3 50% 1%	3 50% 1%	-	5 88% 1%	1 28% 1%	-	3 59% 1%	5 88% 1%	-	-	-
Mason	6 100% 1%	-	68 100% 2%	5 90% 1%	1 10% 0%	30 43% 3%	3 57% 0%	3 46% 1%	1 11% 0%	3 43% 1%	5 79% 1%	1 21% 1%	-	-
Mercer	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 63% 0%	1 37% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 37% 0%	1 63% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Monroe	2 100% 0%	-	28 100% 1%	1 42% 0%	1 58% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	1 74% 1%	1 26% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	-	-	-
Montgomery	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 45% 1%	1 55% 0%	1 55% 0%	1 45% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Morgan	3 100% 0%	-	38 100% 1%	1 45% 0%	2 55% 0%	-	3 100% 0%	-	2 78% 1%	1 22% 0%	3 100% 0%	-	-	-
Moultrie	2 100% 0%	-	28 100% 1%	1 40% 0%	1 60% 0%	1 30% 1%	2 70% 0%	-	-	2 100% 1%	2 100% 0%	-	-	-
Ogle	3 100% 0%	-	38 100% 1%	-	3 100% 1%	1 21% 1%	2 79% 0%	3 83% 1%	1 17% 0%	-	3 100% 0%	-	-	-
Peoria	8 100% 1%	-	88 100% 3%	4 54% 1%	4 46% 1%	-	8 100% 1%	2 21% 1%	3 35% 1%	4 44% 2%	7 87% 1%	1 13% 1%	-	-
Perry	3 100% 0%	-	38 100% 1%	-	3 100% 1%	-	3 100% 0%	1 31% 0%	2 48% 1%	1 21% 0%	2 69% 0%	1 31% 1%	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 6

TABLE 001 (continued)
51. In what county is your household located?

	TOTAL	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural		Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
Piatt	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	50 1%	50 0%	100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Pike	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Pulaski	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Randolph	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Richland	3 100% 0%	-	3 100% 1%	-	3 100% 1%	-	3 100% 0%	77% 1%	-	23% 0%	66% 0%	34% 1%	-	-	-
Rock Island	9 100% 1%	-	9 100% 3%	5 1%	4 1%	1 1%	8 1%	45% 2%	33% 1%	22% 1%	85% 1%	15% 1%	-	-	-
St. Clair	21 100% 3%	-	21 100% 8%	11 3%	10 2%	2 3%	18 86% 3%	5 22% 2%	9 42% 3%	36% 3%	15% 2%	72% 3%	18% 3%	4% 3%	7% 12%
Saline	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 1%	1 58% 0%	1 44% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	55% 0%	-	44% 0%	100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Sangamon	12 100% 1%	-	12 100% 4%	6 2%	5 1%	2 2%	10 84% 1%	5 41% 2%	5 42% 2%	2 17% 1%	10 89% 2%	11% 1%	-	-	-
Schuyler	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	1 55% 1%	1 45% 0%	1 55% 0%	1 45% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 7

TABLE 001 (continued)
51. In what county is your household located?

	TOTAL	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural		Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
Scott	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Shelby	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 1%	-	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	32% 0%	-	68% 1%	100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Stephenson	4 100% 1%	-	4 100% 2%	1 31% 0%	3 63% 1%	1 15% 1%	4 85% 1%	15% 0%	2 55% 1%	1 30% 1%	4 100% 1%	-	-	-	-
Tazewell	11 100% 1%	-	11 100% 4%	7 62% 2%	4 38% 1%	1 5% 1%	10 95% 1%	4 35% 1%	4 37% 1%	3 28% 1%	10 90% 2%	-	1 10% 4%	-	-
Union	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Vermilion	3 100% 0%	-	3 100% 1%	1 51% 0%	1 43% 0%	-	3 100% 0%	-	-	3 100% 1%	1 49% 0%	-	-	-	-
Wabash	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 1%	1 50% 0%	1 50% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	32% 0%	35% 0%	33% 0%	100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Warren	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 57% 0%	1 43% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Washington	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 57% 0%	1 43% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-
Wayne	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 8

TABLE 001 (continued)
S1. In what county is your household located?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
White	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Whiteside	5 100% 1%	-	58 100% 2%	2 37% 0%	3 63% 1%	-	5 100% 1%	3 59% 1%	1 22% 0%	1 19% 0%	5 100% 1%	-	-	-
Will	30 100% 4%	30C 100% 6%	-	7 22% 2%	23D 78% 5%	3 11% 4%	27 63% 4%	13 41% 5%	12 41% 4%	5 15% 2%	25 64% 4%	3 10% 2%	2 6% 5%	-
Williamson	3 100% 0%	-	3B 100% 1%	-	3 100% 1%	1 15% 1%	3 95% 0%	1 15% 0%	2 44% 1%	1 35% 1%	3 100% 1%	-	-	-
Winnebago	19 100% 2%	-	19B 100% 7%	10 54% 2%	9 46% 2%	1 6% 1%	18 91% 2%	5 27% 2%	6 32% 3%	7 38% 3%	14 74% 2%	2 12% 2%	1 5% 5%	1 7% 12%
Woodford	3 100% 0%	-	3B 100% 1%	2 45% 0%	1 55% 0%	1 25% 1%	3 75% 0%	3 80% 1%	1 21% 0%	-	3 100% 1%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION, INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 9

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 002
S2. REGION

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806 100% 100%	528 65% 100%	278 35% 100%	375 47% 100%	431 53% 100%	90 11% 100%	713 89% 100%	275 34% 100%	299 36% 100%	231 29% 100%	611 76% 100%	131 16% 100%	27 3% 100%	12 1% 100%
Urban	528 100% 65%	528C 100% 100%	-	245 46% 65%	283 54% 66%	59 11% 66%	468 89% 56%	184J 37% 71%	169 36% 65%	134 25% 58%	365 69% 60%	111K 21% 85%	24L 5% 88%	8 2% 69%
Rural	278 100% 35%	-	278B 100% 100%	130 47% 35%	148 53% 34%	31 11% 34%	245 89% 34%	80 23% 29%	100 36% 35%	94H 35% 42%	246LM 88% 40%	20 7% 15%	3 1% 12%	4 1% 31%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION, INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 10

TABLE 003
53. How many adults, age 18 and older, including yourself, live in this household?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	333	221	578	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	29%	94%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	511	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	63%	16%	3%	1%
1-12	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	511	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	63%	16%	3%	1%
Mean	1.95	1.96	1.93	1.95	1.95	2.00	1.94	2.09J	1.98J	1.73	1.95	1.95	2.29	1.57
Standard deviation	0.85	0.86	0.83	0.81	0.88	0.74	0.86	0.92	0.83	0.71	0.78	1.17	1.12	0.54
Median	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 11

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 004
54. Of those adults, who had the most recent birthday? May I please speak to him/her?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	579	290	289	239	340	76	499	191	248	132	504	45	13	4
	100%	50%	50%	41%	59%	13%	86%	33%	43%	23%	87%	8%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	571	373	198	275	296	71	497	211	211	141	455	70	22	7
	100%	65%	35%	48%	52%	13%	87%	37%	37%	25%	80%	12%	4%	1%
Yes, Male available	270	175	95	268E	3	36	235	107	94	70	221L	28	12L	5
	100%	65%	35%	99%	1%	13%	87%	40%	35%	26%	82%	9%	4%	2%
	47%	47%	48%	97%	1%	50%	47%	51%	45%	49%	48%	37%	54%	74%
Yes, Female available	300	198	103	8	293D	38	262	104	117J	71	235	44	10	2
	100%	66%	34%	3%	97%	12%	87%	35%	39%	24%	78%	15%	3%	1%
	53%	53%	52%	3%	99%	50%	53%	49%	55%	51%	52%	63%	46%	26%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 12

TABLE 005

1. Please tell me how important wildlife is to you? Wildlife is generally defined as all hunted and nonhunted wild animals, particularly birds and mammals, excluding fish. Is wildlife very important, moderately important, or not at all important?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55- J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	579	83	16	7	
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	299	231	611	131	27	12	
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Not at all important (1)	47	36	11	19	28	-	47F	15	15	15	19	21K	1	2K	
	100%	7%	4%	5%	6%	-	100%	5%	5%	7%	3%	16%	5%	19%	
Moderately important (2)	300	202	98	137	163	20	280F	99	104	93	223	53	13	4	
	100%	67%	33%	46%	54%	7%	93%	33%	35%	31%	74%	17%	4%	1%	
	37%	39%	35%	37%	36%	22%	39%	36%	36%	40%	37%	40%	49%	31%	
Very important (3)	456	289	168	217	239	70G	383	150	169	120	367L	57	12	6	
	100%	63%	37%	49%	52%	15%	84%	35%	37%	25%	80%	13%	3%	1%	
	57%	55%	60%	58%	55%	78%	54%	58%	58%	52%	60%	44%	46%	49%	
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Refused	2	2	1	2	-	-	2	-	1	2	2	-	-	-	
	100%	99%	31%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	31%	63%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
Mean	2.51	2.48	2.57B	2.53	2.49	2.78G	2.47	2.53	2.52	2.45	2.57L	2.28	2.41	2.30	
Std Dev	0.61	0.52	0.57	0.59	0.62	0.42	0.62	0.60	0.60	0.62	0.56	0.73	0.61	0.84	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, KL, MN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ

FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.

PAGE 13

TABLE 006

2. What types of animals do you think of when using the word "wildlife"? Three responses: 1ST OF 3

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP	AGE				RACE				
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female		Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E		F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7	
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	299	231	611	131	27	12	
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	35%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Deer	385	239	146B	197E	188	46	337	150J	132	100	317L	38	13	5	
	100%	62%	38%	51%	49%	12%	88%	33%	34%	26%	82%	10%	3%	1%	
	46%	45%	53%	53%	44%	52%	47%	55%	46%	43%	52%	29%	49%	40%	
Birds	90	65	25	27	64D	11	79	20	42H	28	54	23	-	-	
	100%	72%	26%	23%	71%	13%	87%	22%	46%	31%	71%	26%	-	-	
	11%	12%	9%	7%	15%	13%	11%	7%	14%	12%	11%	18%	-	-	
Bears	37	29	8	19	17	2	35	12	15	10	21	12K	4K	-	
	100%	78%	22%	52%	48%	5%	95%	32%	41%	27%	57%	33%	10%	-	
	5%	5%	3%	5%	4%	2%	5%	4%	5%	4%	3%	9%	14%	-	
Raccoons	23	14	10	11	13	3	21	4	9	9	19	3	-	-	
	100%	59%	41%	46%	54%	11%	93%	17%	38%	37%	83%	11%	-	-	
	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	4%	3%	2%	-	-	
Tigers	22	18	4	5	17D	2	20	14J	5	2	7	15K	-	-	
	100%	84%	16%	23%	77%	10%	90%	64%	25%	11%	33%	67%	-	-	
	3%	3%	1%	1%	4%	2%	3%	5%	2%	1%	1%	11%	-	-	
Lions	21	17	5	5	16D	2	19	6	6	7	9	6	1	3KL	
	100%	78%	22%	22%	78%	7%	87%	30%	31%	36%	42%	29%	7%	15%	
	3%	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	5%	5%	29%	
Rabbit	17	8	10B	12	6	-	17	3	5	9	15	2	-	-	
	100%	43%	57%	68%	32%	-	100%	16%	30%	52%	89%	11%	-	-	
	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	1%	-	-	
Fish	15	11	4	12E	3	2	14	5	5	6	11	4	-	-	
	100%	76%	24%	81%	19%	10%	90%	30%	32%	36%	72%	28%	-	-	
	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	-	-	
Ducks	13	4	8B	8	5	-	13	5	2	6	10	2	-	-	
	100%	35%	65%	64%	36%	-	100%	43%	12%	45%	81%	19%	-	-	
	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ

FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.

PAGE 14

TABLE 006 (continued)

2. What types of animals do you think of when using the word "wildlife"? Three responses; 1ST OF 3

	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	<----->			<----->		<----->		<----->			<----->			
	TOTAL	Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Misp. M	Other N
Squirrels	13 100% 2%	5 49% 1%	7 51% 2%	5 36% 1%	8 64% 2%	2 15% 2%	11 84% 1%	3 25% 1%	3 21% 1%	7 54% 3%	13 100% 2%	-	-	-
Dogs	12 100% 1%	9 75% 2%	3 22% 1%	2 16% 0%	10 84% 2%	-	12 100% 2%	-	8 69% 3%	4 31% 2%	12 100% 3%	7% 5%	1% 3%	-
Pheasants	11 100% 1%	4 43% 1%	6 57% 2%	9 89% 2%	2 11% 0%	5 43% 5%	6 57% 1%	3 25% 1%	2 18% 1%	6 54% 2%	11 100% 2%	-	-	-
Wolves	10 100% 1%	7 67% 1%	3 33% 1%	3 29% 1%	7 71% 2%	1 9% 1%	9 91% 1%	4 41% 2%	5 44% 2%	2 15% 1%	9 85% 1%	1% 14%	-	-
Eagles	10 100% 1%	9 88% 2%	1 12% 0%	4 37% 1%	6 63% 1%	1 14% 2%	9 85% 1%	4 37% 1%	3 28% 1%	5 35% 2%	10 100% 1%	3% 31%	-	2KL 22% 19%
Fox	10 100% 1%	7 69% 1%	3 31% 1%	4 43% 1%	6 57% 1%	1 15% 2%	8 85% 1%	1 15% 1%	4 37% 1%	5 48% 2%	10 100% 1%	1% 14%	-	-
Cats	6 100% 1%	5 100% 1%	-	2 32% 0%	4 68% 1%	1 18% 1%	5 82% 1%	-	4 63% 1%	1 20% 1%	6 66% 1%	-	2KL 32% 7%	-
Geese	4 100% 1%	4 100% 1%	-	3 73% 1%	1 21% 0%	-	4 100% 1%	1 35% 1%	3 65% 1%	-	4 100% 0%	-	2KL 44% 7%	-
Turkey	4 100% 0%	1 32% 0%	3 68% 1%	1 37% 0%	2 63% 1%	1 19% 1%	3 81% 0%	1 17% 0%	2 51% 1%	1 32% 1%	4 100% 1%	-	-	-
Possums	3 100% 0%	2 42% 0%	2 55% 1%	3 100% 1%	-	1 25% 1%	2 75% 0%	-	1 31% 0%	2 69% 1%	3 100% 0%	1% 31%	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 15

TABLE 006 (continued)

2. What types of animals do you think of when using the word "wildlife"? Three responses; 1ST OF 3

	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	<----->			<----->		<----->		<----->			<----->			
	TOTAL	Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Misp. M	Other N
Coyotes	3 100% 0%	1 31% 0%	2 69% 1%	1 29% 0%	2 71% 0%	-	3 100% 0%	1 29% 0%	1 43% 1%	1 21% 0%	3 100% 0%	-	-	-
Elephants	2 100% 0%	2 100% 0%	-	-	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	2 100% 1%	-	-	-	2KL 100% 1%	-	-
Snakes	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 1%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Mountain Lion	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Horses	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Other	59 100% 7%	41 69% 8%	18 31% 7%	25 42% 7%	34 58% 8%	5 9% 6%	53 90% 7%	21 36% 8%	24 41% 8%	11 18% 5%	45 75% 7%	10 16% 7%	-	-
Don't know	9 100% 1%	2 26% 0%	7 74% 2%	5 55% 1%	4 45% 1%	-	9 100% 1%	1 16% 1%	2 24% 1%	6 55% 2%	8 85% 1%	-	-	1KL 15% 12%
None	7 100% 1%	4 63% 1%	3 37% 1%	2 34% 1%	5 66% 1%	-	7 100% 1%	5 75% 2%	1 15% 0%	1 10% 0%	5 75% 1%	-	2KL 25% 6%	-
Anything	17 100% 2%	14 85% 3%	2 15% 1%	8 50% 2%	8 50% 2%	4 23% 4%	13 77% 2%	4 26% 2%	4 27% 2%	6 34% 2%	13 80% 2%	-	2L 14% 8%	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 16

TABLE 007
2. Three responses; NET OF 3

	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP	AGE			RACE					
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	Male	Female		Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55- 64	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E		F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	605	404	401	320	465	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7	
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	606	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12	
	100%	85%	35%	47%	53%	11%	86%	34%	36%	29%	78%	15%	3%	1%	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Deer	472	295	175B	242E	223	59	411	175J	166	125	395L	45	13	5	
	100%	63%	37%	51%	49%	12%	87%	37%	36%	27%	84%	10%	3%	1%	
	59%	56%	63%	65%	53%	55%	58%	64%	58%	54%	65%	34%	49%	40%	
Birds	183	123	54	76	107	18	165	62	72	46	135	36	5	2	
	100%	70%	30%	42%	58%	10%	90%	34%	39%	26%	74%	20%	2%	1%	
	23%	24%	20%	20%	25%	20%	23%	22%	25%	21%	22%	28%	17%	19%	
Raccoons	94	52	32	42	52	14	79	24	42H	25	79	12	-	-	
	100%	66%	34%	45%	55%	15%	84%	25%	45%	27%	85%	13%	-	-	
	12%	12%	11%	11%	12%	16%	11%	9%	15%	11%	13%	10%	-	-	
Rabbit	91	45	46B	47	44	7	84	21	34	35H	77	10	1	-	
	100%	50%	50%	51%	49%	8%	92%	23%	38%	39%	85%	11%	1%	-	
	11%	9%	15%	12%	10%	8%	12%	8%	12%	15%	13%	8%	4%	-	
Bears	82	60	22	33	49	5	77	28	31	21	54	22K	6	-	
	100%	73%	27%	41%	59%	6%	94%	35%	38%	26%	66%	26%	7%	-	
	10%	11%	8%	9%	11%	8%	11%	10%	11%	9%	9%	16%	22%	-	
Squirrels	87	35	32B	30	36	7	60	15	28	23	53	10	-	-	
	100%	52%	48%	45%	55%	10%	70%	30%	23%	43%	73%	15%	-	-	
	8%	7%	12%	8%	8%	8%	8%	5%	10%	10%	9%	7%	-	-	
Lions	51	44C	7	15	36D	3	46	18	19	11	15	28K	1	3K	
	100%	86%	14%	30%	70%	6%	91%	35%	38%	21%	23%	55%	3%	7%	
	6%	8%	3%	4%	8%	4%	7%	8%	7%	5%	2%	21%	5%	29%	
Tigers	49	35	14	18	31	6	42	21J	19	7	21	24K	1	2	
	100%	72%	28%	37%	63%	12%	85%	44%	39%	15%	42%	49%	3%	4%	
	6%	7%	5%	5%	7%	6%	8%	8%	7%	3%	3%	18%	5%	15%	
Ducks	38	19	19B	27E	11	5	34	16	13	9	32	6	-	-	
	100%	51%	49%	70%	30%	12%	88%	42%	34%	23%	82%	18%	-	-	
	5%	4%	7%	7%	3%	5%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	-	-	

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 17

TABLE 007 (continued)
2. Three responses; NET OF 3

	TOTAL	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban B	Rural C		Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55- J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N	
Fish	38 100% 5%	26 65% 5%	12 31% 4%	28E 74% 8%	10 26% 2%	5 13% 6%	32 86% 5%	15 40% 5%	16 43% 6%	7 18% 3%	28 73% 5%	7 13% 5%	1 3% 5%	-	-	
Fox	37 100% 5%	23 53% 4%	14 37% 5%	19 50% 5%	18 50% 4%	4 11% 4%	32 88% 5%	6 17% 2%	13 35% 4%	17H 48% 7%	31 85% 5%	3 7% 2%	2 6% 8%	-	-	
Wolves	33 100% 4%	22 65% 4%	12 35% 4%	14 41% 4%	20 59% 5%	3 9% 3%	30 91% 4%	8 23% 3%	13 39% 4%	12 35% 5%	30 92% 5%	3 8% 2%	-	-	-	
Pheasants	30 100% 4%	10 35% 2%	19B 65% 7%	24E 79% 6%	6 21% 1%	6 21% 7%	23 77% 3%	12 40% 4%	9 30% 3%	8 27% 4%	30L 100% 5%	-	-	-	-	
Eagles	21 100% 3%	17 82% 3%	4 18% 1%	9 42% 2%	12 58% 3%	1 7% 2%	20 93% 3%	5 25% 2%	12 58% 4%	4 17% 2%	13 61% 2%	5 22% 4%	1 7% 5%	2K 11% 19%	-	
Coyotes	20 100% 3%	11 54% 2%	9 46% 3%	6 32% 2%	14 68% 3%	3 14% 3%	17 86% 2%	5 23% 2%	10 48% 3%	5 23% 2%	19 93% 3%	1 7% 1%	-	-	-	
Possums	18 100% 2%	13 74% 3%	5 26% 2%	10 54% 3%	8 46% 2%	3 18% 4%	15 82% 2%	3 16% 1%	8 45% 3%	7 40% 3%	15 84% 2%	3 16% 2%	-	-	-	
Geese	16 100% 2%	11 66% 2%	5 34% 2%	13E 79% 3%	3 21% 1%	3 16% 3%	14 84% 2%	5 28% 2%	8 48% 3%	4 24% 2%	14 85% 2%	-	2L 11% 7%	-	-	
Dogs	15 100% 2%	12 92% 2%	3 18% 1%	3 21% 1%	12 79% 3%	-	15 100% 2%	-	9H 63% 3%	5H 37% 2%	3 21% 1%	9K 61% 7%	1 5% 3%	-	-	
Elephants	12 100% 1%	10 83% 2%	2 17% 1%	6 46% 1%	6 54% 2%	-	12 100% 2%	8 65% 3%	2 15% 1%	2 20% 1%	4 32% 1%	6K 53% 5%	-	-	-	

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 18

TABLE 007 (continued)
2. Three responses; NET OF 3

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Beavers	12 100% 1%	10 80% 2%	2 20% 1%	8 63% 2%	4 37% 1%	1 12% 2%	10 98% 1%	4 34% 1%	5 42% 2%	3 24% 1%	10 84% 2%	2 16% 1%	-	-
Cats	12 100% 1%	11 90% 2%	1 10% 0%	3 22% 1%	9 78% 2%	1 9% 1%	11 91% 2%	1 9% 0%	5 41% 2%	5 40% 2%	6 53% 1%	2 15% 1%	2K 16% 7%	-
Snakes	9 100% 1%	7 79% 1%	2 21% 1%	1 16% 0%	8 84% 2%	-	8 88% 1%	6I 68% 2%	-	2 20% 1%	5 50% 1%	2 19% 1%	-	2KL 19% 15%
Elks	9 100% 1%	5 57% 1%	4 43% 1%	5 62% 1%	3 38% 1%	2 19% 2%	7 74% 1%	5I 52% 2%	-	4I 42% 2%	9 100% 1%	-	-	-
Mountain Lion	7 100% 1%	5 79% 1%	2 21% 0%	1 31% 1%	5 69% 1%	1 13% 1%	6 87% 1%	3 46% 1%	2 28% 1%	2 27% 1%	5 73% 1%	2 27% 1%	-	-
Horses	6 100% 1%	6 100% 1%	-	2 33% 0%	4 67% 1%	-	6 100% 1%	3 51% 1%	3 49% 1%	-	2 38% 0%	2 31% 1%	-	-
Chipmunks	6 100% 1%	4 76% 1%	2 24% 0%	2 40% 1%	3 60% 1%	2 28% 2%	4 72% 1%	-	3 61% 1%	2 39% 1%	6 100% 1%	-	-	-
Turkey	5 100% 1%	1 23% 0%	4 77% 1%	1 25% 0%	4 72% 1%	1 27% 1%	4 73% 1%	2 36% 1%	2 38% 1%	1 23% 1%	5 100% 1%	-	-	-
Other	133 100% 17%	97C 73% 18%	36 27% 13%	57 43% 15%	76 57% 18%	15 11% 17%	118 88% 15%	57I 42% 21%	40 30% 14%	32 24% 14%	91 69% 15%	26 19% 20%	-	6KM 4% 48%
Don't know	9 100% 1%	2 26% 0%	7 74% 2%	5 55% 1%	4 45% 1%	-	9 100% 1%	1 15% 1%	2 24% 1%	6 50% 2%	8 85% 1%	-	-	1KL 15% 12%

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 19

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 007 (continued)
2. Three responses; NET OF 3

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
None	7 100% 1%	4 63% 1%	3 37% 1%	2 34% 1%	5 66% 1%	-	7 100% 1%	5 75% 2%	1 15% 0%	1 10% 0%	5 75% 1%	-	2KL 25% 6%	-
Anything	17 100% 2%	14 85% 3%	2 15% 1%	8 50% 2%	8 50% 2%	4 23% 4%	13 77% 2%	4 26% 2%	4 27% 2%	6 34% 2%	13 80% 2%	-	2L 14% 8%	-
NO MORE RESPONSES	477 100% 59%	319 67% 60%	158 33% 57%	215 45% 57%	262 55% 61%	53 11% 59%	423 89% 59%	166 35% 60%	164 34% 57%	142 30% 61%	358 75% 59%	79 17% 60%	22 5% 80%	5 1% 40%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 20

TABLE 008
3. What is your FAVORITE type of wildlife?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	94%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	808	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	75%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Deer	285	148	138	125	141	27	238	94	95	75	235L	16	3	1
	100%	58%	44%	47%	53%	10%	93%	36%	38%	28%	85%	8%	3%	0%
	33%	28%	42%	33%	33%	30%	33%	34%	33%	32%	33%	12%	31%	8%
Birds	121	80	40	46	75	17	103	21	51W	47W	36	20	2	-
	100%	67%	33%	38%	62%	14%	86%	17%	43%	43%	79%	17%	2%	-
	15%	15%	15%	12%	17%	19%	14%	7%	18%	20%	16%	15%	7%	-
Fish	20	10	10	17E	4	-	20	13	7	3	10	7	2	1
	100%	49%	51%	82%	18%	-	100%	45%	37%	16%	49%	33%	11%	7%
	3%	2%	4%	4%	1%	-	3%	4%	3%	1%	2%	5%	5%	12%
Raccoons	20	15	3	10	9	2	17	5	10	5	13	1	-	-
	100%	83%	17%	52%	48%	11%	83%	24%	49%	27%	83%	7%	-	-
	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	-	-
Lions	19	18C	1	9	11	3	17	7	7	5	8	3K	2	2K
	100%	93%	7%	45%	55%	14%	86%	33%	35%	27%	42%	40%	10%	9%
	2%	3%	0%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	6%	7%	15%
Wolves	19	12	7	3	10	5G	14	8	6	4	16	1	-	-
	100%	61%	39%	46%	54%	28%	74%	41%	31%	22%	93%	7%	-	-
	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	6%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	-	-
Tigers	19	16C	3	8	11	3	14	12J	5	1	8	6	-	2K
	100%	86%	14%	41%	59%	18%	76%	62%	25%	6%	42%	31%	-	12%
	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	1%	1%	4%	-	19%
Bears	18	17C	2	8	10	5G	13	7	4	4	13	5	-	-
	100%	92%	8%	46%	54%	26%	74%	41%	33%	20%	73%	27%	-	-
	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	-	-
Squirrels	17	7	10B	8	9	3	15	2	4	11W	11	3	-	1
	100%	41%	59%	47%	53%	15%	85%	12%	24%	62%	55%	18%	-	8%
	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	5%	2%	2%	-	12%

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 21

TABLE 008 (continued)
3. What is your FAVORITE type of wildlife?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Rabbits	14	8	7	7	7	-	14	2	6	6	10	4	-	-
	100%	53%	47%	50%	50%	-	100%	16%	42%	41%	71%	23%	-	-
	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	-	-
Cats	12	10	3	3	10	2	11	5	5	2	9	3	-	-
	100%	78%	22%	22%	78%	14%	86%	41%	38%	12%	75%	25%	-	-
	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	-	-
Horses	10	10C	1	6	4	1	10	5	1	4	2	4K	2K	-
	100%	95%	5%	60%	40%	5%	95%	54%	5%	41%	19%	40%	22%	-
	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	3%	8%	-
Eagles	10	7	3	7	3	1	9	5	4	1	9	2	-	-
	100%	70%	30%	71%	29%	12%	88%	52%	38%	10%	74%	24%	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	-	-
Ducks	9	5	4	6	4	1	9	4	4	2	8	2	-	-
	100%	57%	43%	59%	41%	7%	93%	40%	43%	16%	90%	20%	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-
Pheasants	8	2	7B	6	2	1	8	1	1	6W	8	-	-	-
	100%	18%	82%	76%	24%	8%	92%	10%	16%	74%	100%	-	-	-
	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	-	-	-
Elephants	8	7	1	-	8D	-	8	4	3	1	2	5K	1K	-
	100%	92%	8%	-	100%	-	100%	51%	35%	14%	22%	60%	17%	-
	1%	1%	0%	-	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	4%	5%	-
Geese	6	4	2	5	1	2	4	-	4	2	5	-	-	-
	100%	62%	38%	79%	21%	26%	74%	-	64%	36%	73%	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-
Dogs	6	4	2	3	3	2	4	1	2	3	4	2	-	-
	100%	72%	28%	53%	47%	27%	73%	19%	29%	53%	72%	28%	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-
Fox	6	4	2	3	3	1	5	-	2	3	6	-	-	-
	100%	70%	30%	49%	51%	9%	91%	-	40%	60%	100%	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 22

TABLE 002 (continued)
3. What is your FAVORITE type of wildlife?

	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	TOTAL	Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N
Coyotes	5 100% 1%	4 72% 1%	2 28% 1%	5E 100% 1%	-	2G 40% 2%	3 60% 0%	2 43% 1%	1 13% 0%	2 45% 1%	3 55% 0%	-	-	2K 45% 20%
Snakes	3 100% 0%	3 100% 0%	-	3 100% 1%	-	-	3 100% 0%	3 100% 1%	-	-	3 100% 1%	-	-	-
Chipmunks	2 100% 0%	2 100% 0%	-	-	2 100% 1%	-	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 1%	-	1 33% 0%	1 61% 1%	-	-
Possums	2 100% 0%	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	-	-	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 1%	-	-	2K 100% 1%	-	-
Beavers	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-
Other	67 100% 8%	52C 77% 10%	16 23% 6%	33 49% 9%	34 51% 8%	8 12% 9%	59 88% 8%	41HJ 61% 15%	20J 30% 7%	5 8% 2%	43 72% 8%	14 20% 10%	2 3% 6%	2 3% 15%
Don't know	42 100% 5%	27 64% 5%	15 36% 5%	15 36% 4%	27 64% 6%	2 5% 2%	39 94% 5%	13 31% 5%	15 37% 5%	13 32% 6%	31 75% 5%	8 18% 6%	1 3% 5%	-
Refused	2 100% 0%	1 64% 0%	1 36% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	-	-	2 100% 1%	2 100% 0%	-	-	-
None	62 100% 8%	47 76% 9%	15 24% 5%	25 40% 7%	37 60% 9%	2 4% 3%	59 95% 8%	20 32% 7%	22 35% 7%	18 28% 8%	41 65% 7%	15 24% 11%	4 6% 15%	-
Anything	11 100% 1%	6 52% 1%	5 48% 2%	6 60% 2%	4 40% 1%	2 19% 2%	9 81% 1%	2 18% 1%	4 35% 1%	5 47% 2%	6 66% 1%	2 22% 2%	1 12% 5%	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 23

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 003
4. Have you (a) seen in the last year?
a. Observed wildlife in the last year?

	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	TOTAL	Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	579 62%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806 100% 100%	528 65% 100%	278 35% 100%	375 47% 100%	431 53% 100%	90 11% 100%	713 88% 100%	275 34% 100%	289 36% 100%	231 29% 100%	611 76% 100%	131 16% 100%	27 3% 100%	12 1% 100%
Yes	650 100% 81%	411 63% 78%	238B 37% 86%	312 48% 83%	338 52% 78%	81G 13% 91%	565 87% 79%	215 33% 78%	250HJ 39% 87%	178 27% 77%	527LN 81% 86%	84 13% 64%	19 3% 71%	5 1% 41%
No	155 100% 19%	116C 75% 22%	39 25% 14%	63 41% 17%	92 59% 21%	8 5% 9%	147F 95% 21%	60I 39% 22%	39 25% 13%	52I 33% 22%	84 54% 14%	46K 30% 35%	8 5% 29%	7K 5% 59%
Don't know	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 1%	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 24

TABLE 010

4. Have you (...) in the last year?
B. Photographed wildlife in the last year?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	269	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	35%	29%	75%	15%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	203	138	65	107	96	390	163	65J	90J	27	173L	21	5	-
	100%	65%	32%	53%	47%	19%	60%	42%	44%	13%	31%	13%	2%	-
	25%	28%	23%	29%	22%	43%	23%	31%	31%	12%	23%	15%	17%	-
No	602	330	212	267	335	51	549F	190	198	204HI	440	110K	22	12
	100%	65%	35%	44%	55%	8%	91%	32%	33%	34%	73%	18%	4%	2%
	75%	74%	78%	71%	58%	57%	77%	69%	69%	88%	72%	84%	83%	100%
Don't know	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 25

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 011

4. Have you (...) in the last year?
C. Fed wildlife in the last year?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other	
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7	
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12	
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	35%	29%	75%	15%	3%	1%	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Yes	348	197	151B	158	190	48	299	95	139H	112H	295LM	37	6	1	
	100%	57%	43%	45%	55%	14%	85%	27%	40%	32%	85%	11%	2%	0%	
	43%	37%	54%	42%	44%	53%	42%	35%	46%	48%	43%	23%	22%	12%	
No	455	325C	128	215	239	42	412	180IJ	149	118	315	94K	20	10	
	100%	72%	29%	48%	52%	9%	90%	39%	33%	26%	69%	21%	4%	2%	
	57%	62%	43%	55%	55%	47%	59%	65%	52%	51%	52%	71%	73%	88%	
Don't know	2	1	1	1	1	-	2	-	1	1	1	-	1K	-	
	100%	52%	38%	38%	62%	-	100%	-	62%	38%	38%	-	62%	-	
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	5%	-	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 26

TABLE 012
5. How often have you (...) in the last year?
B. Observed wildlife in the last year? (4-1)

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misc.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	671	323	348	275	396	88	578	196	294	174	590	54	11	3
	100%	48%	52%	41%	59%	13%	86%	29%	44%	27%	87%	8%	2%	0%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	650	411	238	312	338	81	565	215	250	179	527	64	19	5
	100%	63%	37%	48%	52%	13%	87%	33%	39%	27%	81%	13%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Every day	291	170	121	139	152	40	249	79	119	93	256	26	5	-
	100%	59%	41%	43%	45%	14%	86%	27%	47%	52%	49%	9%	2%	-
	45%	41%	51%	45%	45%	49%	44%	37%	47%	52%	49%	31%	24%	-
About once a week	130	82	49	67	63	20	109	43	52	34	97	18	5	2
	100%	63%	37%	51%	43%	16%	84%	33%	21%	19%	73%	14%	4%	1%
	20%	20%	20%	22%	13%	25%	19%	20%	21%	19%	18%	22%	28%	36%
About once a month	96	59	38	52	45	12	84	52	27	19	83	7	5	1
	100%	61%	39%	54%	45%	13%	87%	54%	28%	19%	95%	8%	5%	1%
	15%	14%	16%	17%	13%	15%	15%	24%	11%	10%	16%	9%	28%	23%
Less often than once a month	128	98	29	53	75	8	119	41	53	31	37	32	4	2
	100%	77%	23%	41%	55%	5%	93%	32%	42%	24%	59%	25%	3%	1%
	20%	24%	12%	17%	22%	10%	21%	19%	21%	17%	16%	33%	22%	36%
Don't know	3	1	2	1	2	-	3	-	-	2	3	-	-	-
	100%	32%	68%	27%	73%	-	100%	-	-	68%	100%	-	-	-
	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	-
Refused	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
	100%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	100%	-	-	-
	0%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, J, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 27

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 013
5. How often have you (...) in the last year?
B. Photographed wildlife in the last year? (4-1)

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misc.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	208	110	98	91	117	42	163	77	104	25	187	14	2	-
	100%	53%	47%	44%	56%	20%	78%	37%	50%	12%	90%	7%	1%	-
WEIGHTED TOTAL	203	138	65	107	96	39	163	85	90	27	170	21	5	-
	100%	68%	32%	53%	47%	19%	80%	42%	44%	13%	84%	10%	2%	-
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Every day	2	-	28	2	-	-	2	-	1	1	1	-	-	-
	100%	-	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	33%	57%	33%	-	-	-
	1%	-	3%	2%	-	-	1%	-	1%	5%	0%	-	-	-
About once a week	12	9	3	7	5	1	10	5	5	2	7	5	-	-
	100%	75%	25%	56%	44%	7%	88%	45%	40%	15%	57%	43%	-	-
	6%	6%	4%	8%	5%	2%	6%	6%	5%	7%	4%	24%	-	-
About once a month	51	37	14	29	22	13	37	20	24	5	44	4	2	-
	100%	72%	28%	57%	43%	25%	73%	39%	47%	13%	87%	9%	4%	-
	25%	27%	22%	27%	23%	33%	23%	23%	27%	24%	26%	21%	50%	-
Less often than once a month	135	91	44	68	67	23	112	59	59	16	117	10	2	-
	100%	67%	33%	50%	50%	17%	83%	44%	44%	12%	85%	7%	2%	-
	67%	66%	68%	64%	70%	51%	69%	70%	66%	61%	69%	48%	50%	-
Don't know	3	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	-	-
	100%	48%	52%	30%	70%	52%	48%	22%	48%	30%	52%	43%	-	-
	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	7%	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, J, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 28

TABLE 014
Q1. How often have you (...) in the last year?
Q2. How much do you miss wildlife in the last year? (4-1)

RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	382	159	223	147	225	53	326	90	172	117	345	28	3	1
	100%	42%	58%	38%	52%	14%	86%	24%	45%	31%	90%	7%	1%	0%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	349	198	151	159	120	48	300	95	140	112	295	37	6	1
	100%	57%	43%	46%	34%	14%	86%	27%	40%	32%	85%	11%	2%	0%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Every day	110	50	60	47	53	14	94	25	44	41	99	9	2	-
	100%	45%	55%	42%	53%	13%	87%	23%	43%	37%	89%	9%	2%	-
	32%	25%	43%	29%	33%	30%	31%	26%	31%	37%	33%	24%	33%	-
About once a week	92	52	43	44	39	14	78	15	39	38	81	7	-	-
	100%	55%	44%	49%	36%	15%	85%	16%	42%	41%	88%	8%	-	-
	28%	25%	27%	29%	30%	30%	25%	15%	29%	34%	27%	19%	-	-
About once a month	58	34	25	23	35	6	53	15	24	19	40	13	1	1
	100%	58%	42%	40%	60%	10%	90%	25%	41%	33%	69%	23%	2%	2%
	17%	17%	15%	15%	19%	12%	17%	16%	17%	17%	13%	36%	23%	100%
Less often than once a month	84	61	23	43	41	13	70	40	31	12	73	7	2	-
	100%	72%	28%	51%	49%	15%	84%	49%	37%	14%	87%	8%	3%	-
	24%	31%	15%	27%	22%	28%	23%	42%	22%	10%	25%	19%	38%	-
Don't know	5	2	2	2	2	-	5	-	2	2	4	1	-	-
	100%	51%	45%	51%	45%	-	100%	-	51%	49%	79%	22%	-	-
	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	3%	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 29

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 015

5. How much would you miss wildlife in your life if you could not interact (observe, photograph or feed) with it? Would you miss it very much, some, or not at all?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	699	338	361	265	414	89	505	202	302	189	610	60	11	4
	100%	48%	52%	41%	59%	13%	87%	29%	43%	27%	87%	9%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	681	433	249	326	355	83	595	225	250	190	545	93	19	6
	100%	64%	36%	48%	52%	12%	88%	33%	38%	28%	80%	14%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Not at all (1)	30	22	8	8	21	-	29	8	11	10	18	11	-	-
	100%	73%	27%	29%	72%	-	98%	28%	38%	32%	61%	37%	-	-
	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%	-	5%	4%	4%	5%	3%	12%	-	-
Some (2)	187	116	71	85	102	8	178	51	69	67	136	37	11	1
	100%	62%	38%	46%	54%	5%	95%	27%	37%	36%	73%	20%	5%	1%
	27%	27%	29%	25%	29%	10%	30%	23%	27%	35%	25%	40%	57%	22%
Very much (3)	458	290	168	229	230	74	382	163	178	111	384	46	8	5
	100%	63%	37%	50%	50%	16%	83%	35%	33%	24%	84%	10%	2%	1%
	67%	67%	68%	70%	65%	90%	64%	72%	63%	59%	71%	49%	43%	78%
Don't know	6	5	1	4	3	-	6	3	1	2	6	-	-	-
	100%	81%	13%	56%	44%	-	100%	46%	13%	36%	100%	-	-	-
	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-
Mean	2.64	2.63	2.65	2.58	2.59	2.90	2.60	2.70	2.65	2.54	2.68	2.37	2.43	2.78
Std Dev	0.57	0.58	0.54	0.52	0.50	0.30	0.58	0.54	0.56	0.59	0.53	0.69	0.52	0.45

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 30

TABLE 016

8. From your perspective, where do you place wildlife animals in society? Would it be the (read 1-3)?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	529 65%	278 35%	375 47%	431 53%	90 11%	713 88%	275 34%	289 36%	231 29%	611 76%	131 16%	27 3%	12 1%
Same as people	193 100%	150C 78%	43 22%	86 44%	107 55%	28 14%	165 85%	35IJ 44%	65 34%	40 20%	127 66%	57KM 29%	2 1%	3 1%
Same as pets	378 100%	240 64%	137 36%	171 45%	205 55%	39 10%	338 90%	133 35%	149J 40%	91 24%	295 78%	55 15%	16 4%	3 1%
Same as livestock	173 100%	97 56%	77B 44%	86 50%	87 50%	15 9%	157 90%	45 26%	58 33%	67HI 39%	138 80%	17 10%	8 3%	4 2%
All of these	2 100%	2 0%	1 0%	2 0%	1 0%	-	2 0%	-	1 0%	2 1%	2 0%	-	-	-
None of these	47 100%	34 72%	13 28%	26 56%	21 44%	5 13%	39 84%	11 23%	10 22%	25HI 54%	39L 82%	1 2%	4L 9%	2L 5%
Don't know	13 100%	5 39%	8B 61%	5 35%	8 65%	2 14%	11 85%	1 11%	6 44%	8 45%	11 93%	1 8%	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 31

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1998

TABLE 017

9. Do you think that a particular wildlife habitat can support an unlimited number of wildlife?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	529 65%	278 35%	375 47%	431 53%	90 11%	713 88%	275 34%	289 36%	231 29%	611 76%	131 16%	27 3%	12 1%
Yes	252 100%	151 60%	101B 40%	109 43%	143 57%	19 8%	231F 92%	98I 39%	79 31%	72 28%	159 63%	71K 28%	10 4%	4 1%
No	478 100%	323 68%	155 32%	248E 66%	230 53%	87G 74%	411 59%	161 52%	180 62%	130 56%	401L 66%	44 33%	11 42%	8 68%
Don't know	74 100%	52 70%	22 30%	18 25%	56D 75%	4 5%	70 94%	16 21%	30 40%	28H 37%	50 68%	16 22%	5 7%	-
Refused	2 100%	1 64%	1 36%	-	2 100%	-	1 54%	-	-	1 64%	1 64%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 32

TABLE 019

9. Do you believe endangered species of wildlife should be protected even if the cost is high in terms of dollars and lost jobs?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	520	346	173	250	269	66G	451	201JJ	186J	125	331	96	17	8
	100%	67%	33%	48%	52%	13%	87%	33%	36%	24%	73%	18%	3%	2%
	64%	65%	62%	67%	63%	74%	63%	73%	64%	54%	52%	73%	61%	59%
No	232	144	87	99	132	19	211	66	79	85HI	131	32	10	4
	100%	52%	38%	43%	57%	8%	91%	26%	34%	37%	78%	14%	4%	2%
	29%	27%	31%	26%	31%	21%	30%	24%	27%	37%	30%	24%	39%	31%
Don't know	50	33	17	24	25	4	46	5	23H	20H	45	3	-	-
	100%	65%	35%	49%	51%	8%	92%	12%	46%	40%	90%	6%	-	-
	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%	5%	6%	2%	8%	9%	7%	2%	-	-
Refused	5	4	1	1	4	-	5	2	2	-	5	-	-	-
	100%	30%	10%	24%	76%	-	100%	45%	35%	-	100%	-	-	-
	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
 FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
 PAGE 33

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
 WILDLIFE STUDY
 RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 019

10. Which of the following do you believe contributes more to causing species to become endangered? (1-2)

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Harm done to or destruction of living areas of wildlife	572	359	212B	293E	278	76G	492	202J	217J	146	485LMN	55	14	3
	100%	63%	37%	51%	49%	13%	86%	35%	38%	25%	85%	10%	2%	0%
	71%	68%	76%	78%	65%	85%	69%	74%	75%	63%	79%	43%	52%	23%
Hunting	218	157C	61	74	144D	13	204F	70	68	76I	113	74K	13K	7K
	100%	72%	28%	34%	66%	6%	94%	32%	31%	35%	52%	34%	6%	3%
	27%	30%	22%	20%	33%	15%	29%	25%	23%	33%	19%	56%	48%	57%
Don't know	10	6	4	2	8	-	10	-	3	5H	3	1	-	-
	100%	62%	38%	20%	80%	-	100%	-	29%	50%	79%	10%	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	-	1%	3%	1%	1%	-	-
Refused	7	5	1	6E	1	1	6	3	1	2	4	-	-	2KL
	100%	82%	18%	92%	8%	1%	92%	45%	18%	37%	65%	-	-	37%
	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	-	20%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
 FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
 PAGE 34

TABLE 020
11. In general, how do you feel about hunting (...) Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
A. For sport? Favorably, undecided, or negatively?

RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misc.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Negatively (1)	512	376C	137	196	316D	47	463F	189J	179	133	358	103K	23K	7
	100%	73%	27%	38%	62%	9%	90%	37%	35%	25%	70%	20%	4%	1%
	64%	71%	49%	52%	73%	53%	65%	69%	62%	59%	59%	73%	94%	60%
Undecided (2)	128	75	53	69	58	11	117	35	53	39	101	20	4	1
	100%	59%	41%	54%	46%	8%	92%	27%	42%	31%	80%	15%	3%	1%
	16%	14%	19%	18%	14%	12%	15%	13%	16%	17%	17%	15%	16%	3%
Favorably (3)	162	76	86B	103E	53	31G	130	51	55	55	149LM	7	-	4LM
	100%	47%	53%	67%	33%	19%	80%	31%	34%	34%	92%	5%	-	2%
	20%	14%	31%	29%	12%	34%	18%	16%	19%	24%	24%	6%	-	32%
Don't know	3	-	3B	1	2	1	2	-	1	2	1	1	-	-
	100%	0%	100%	30%	70%	30%	70%	0%	35%	65%	65%	35%	0%	0%
Refused	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Mean	1.56	1.43	1.81B	1.77E	1.39	1.81G	1.53	1.50	1.57	1.66H	1.56L	1.27	1.16	1.72
											M			
Std Dev	0.81	0.73	0.88	0.87	0.70	0.92	0.79	0.79	0.80	0.85	0.85	0.56	0.38	1.00

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 35

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 021
11. In general, how do you feel about hunting (...) Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
B. For food? Favorably, undecided, or negatively?

	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE				
	TOTAL	Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Misc. M	Other N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7	
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12	
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Negatively (1)	194	150C	44	56	138D	11	181F	69	69	52	118	56K	5	6	
	100%	77%	23%	29%	71%	6%	93%	36%	35%	27%	61%	23%	3%	3%	
	24%	28%	16%	15%	32%	13%	25%	25%	24%	23%	19%	43%	16%	48%	
Undecided (2)	162	106	56	65	97	18	143	52	58	48	117	33	6	2	
	100%	65%	35%	40%	60%	11%	88%	32%	35%	30%	72%	20%	5%	1%	
	20%	20%	20%	17%	23%	20%	20%	19%	20%	21%	19%	25%	30%	20%	
Favorably (3)	436	261	176B	247E	189	58G	378	152	159	122	367LN	41	14	1	
	100%	60%	40%	57%	43%	13%	87%	35%	37%	28%	84%	9%	3%	0%	
	54%	49%	63%	66%	44%	65%	53%	55%	55%	53%	60%	32%	52%	12%	
Don't know	10	8	2	4	6	1	9	1	3	5	9	1	-	-	
	100%	85%	15%	43%	57%	11%	89%	11%	26%	53%	90%	10%	-	-	
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	-	
Refused	3	3	-	2	1	1	2	-	1	2	1	-	-	2KL	
	100%	100%	0%	73%	27%	27%	73%	0%	27%	73%	27%	0%	0%	73%	
	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	20%	
Mean	2.31	2.21	2.48B	2.52E	2.12	2.53G	2.28	2.30	2.32	2.31	2.41L	1.99	2.33	1.54	
											N				
Std Dev	0.84	0.87	0.76	0.75	0.87	0.72	0.85	0.85	0.84	0.83	0.80	0.86	0.79	0.81	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 36

11. In general, how do you feel about hunting (...) Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
 C. To reduce risk of diseases? Favorably, undecided, or negatively?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55- J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	579	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Negatively (1)	213	175C	38	78	135D	17	195	75	80	53	135	55K	10	3
	100%	82%	18%	37%	63%	8%	92%	36%	37%	23%	63%	25%	5%	2%
	26%	33%	14%	21%	31%	19%	27%	28%	28%	23%	22%	42%	38%	29%
Undecided (2)	205	135	69	82	123D	18	186	79	68	55	145	44	6	1
	100%	55%	34%	40%	60%	9%	91%	33%	33%	27%	71%	21%	3%	1%
	25%	26%	25%	22%	29%	20%	28%	23%	23%	24%	24%	33%	24%	12%
Favorably (3)	385	215	170B	213E	172	55G	328	113	141	121	328L	32	11	7
	100%	56%	44%	55%	45%	14%	85%	31%	37%	31%	85%	8%	3%	2%
	48%	41%	61%	57%	40%	62%	45%	43%	43%	52%	54%	24%	40%	55%
Don't know	3	2	1	2	1	-	3	-	1	2	3	-	-	-
	100%	74%	26%	73%	27%	-	100%	-	27%	73%	100%	-	-	-
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	-	0%	-	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-
Mean	2.21	2.08	2.489	2.36E	2.09	2.43G	2.19	2.15	2.21	2.30	2.02L	1.82	2.04	2.30
Std Dev	0.84	0.86	0.73	0.81	0.94	0.79	0.84	0.83	0.85	0.82	0.81	0.80	0.90	0.97

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
 COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
 FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
 PAGE 37

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
 WILDLIFE STUDY
 RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1995

TABLE 023

11. In general, how do you feel about hunting (...) Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
 C. To reduce risk of diseases? Favorably, undecided, or negatively?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55- J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	579	83	16	7	
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	269	231	611	131	27	12	
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Negatively (1)	123	98C	26	43	91D	13	109	54J	41	25	68	43KM	-	3	
	100%	79%	21%	34%	66%	11%	88%	43%	33%	21%	55%	35%	-	2%	
	15%	18%	9%	11%	19%	15%	15%	20%	14%	11%	11%	33%	-	23%	
Undecided (2)	248	167	79	100	148D	27	217	90	93	61	189	41	10	2	
	100%	68%	32%	41%	59%	11%	38%	37%	38%	25%	77%	17%	4%	1%	
	31%	32%	28%	27%	34%	31%	30%	33%	32%	26%	31%	32%	38%	15%	
Favorably (3)	410	243	168B	219E	192	43	366	126	148	132H	334L	42	17L	6	
	100%	59%	41%	53%	47%	11%	89%	31%	36%	32%	81%	10%	4%	1%	
	51%	46%	60%	58%	45%	49%	51%	46%	51%	57%	55%	32%	62%	51%	
Don't know	24	19	6	14	10	5	20	4	6	13HI	19	4	-	1	
	100%	77%	23%	59%	41%	19%	81%	17%	23%	51%	77%	19%	-	6%	
	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	5%	3%	1%	2%	5%	3%	3%	-	12%	
Refused	2	2	-	-	2	1	1	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	
	100%	100%	-	-	100%	45%	55%	55%	45%	-	100%	-	-	-	
	0%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	
Mean	2.37	2.29	2.528	2.49E	2.26	2.36	2.37	2.27	2.33	2.49H	2.45L	2.00	2.62L	2.32	
Std Dev	0.74	0.77	0.66	0.70	0.76	0.74	0.74	0.77	0.73	0.70	0.69	0.83	0.50	0.95	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
 COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
 FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
 PAGE 38

TABLE 024

12. Have you or has anyone in your household hunted or trapped wildlife in the past three years?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	15	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	269	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	141	60	818	84E	57	25G	115	50J	53	27	138M	3	-	-
	100%	42%	58%	60%	40%	16%	82%	42%	37%	19%	95%	2%	-	-
	17%	11%	29%	22%	13%	26%	16%	22%	18%	12%	22%	2%	-	-
No	653	467C	197	291	372D	65	596F	214	237	203H	474	128K	27L	12
	100%	70%	30%	44%	56%	10%	90%	32%	38%	31%	71%	19%	4%	2%
	82%	88%	71%	78%	85%	72%	84%	76%	62%	88%	78%	98%	100%	100%
Don't know	2	1	1	-	2	-	2	1	-	1	2	-	-	-
	100%	63%	37%	-	100%	-	100%	63%	-	37%	100%	-	-	-
	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 39

TABLE 025

13. To what extent are you concerned about [...] Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, or not at all concerned?
a. Damages from wildlife to agricultural crops Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned or not at all concerned?

	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE				
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other	
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	15	7	
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	269	231	611	131	27	12	
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Not at all concerned (1)	235	155	80	127	108	31	204	98	92	45	138	27	-	2	
	100%	66%	34%	54%	46%	13%	67%	42%	38%	19%	84%	11%	-	1%	
	29%	29%	29%	34%	25%	34%	29%	36%	31%	20%	32%	21%	-	15%	
Somewhat concerned (2)	411	265	146	186	225	50	359	134	146	123	325	61	12	4	
	100%	64%	36%	45%	55%	12%	67%	33%	39%	30%	79%	15%	3%	1%	
	51%	50%	53%	50%	52%	55%	50%	49%	51%	53%	53%	47%	46%	34%	
Very concerned (3)	142	93	49	55	87	7	134	34	53	54	80	40	11	4	
	100%	66%	34%	39%	61%	5%	94%	24%	37%	39%	55%	26%	8%	3%	
	18%	18%	18%	15%	20%	7%	19%	12%	18%	23%	10%	31%	39%	31%	
Don't know	16	13	3	4	11	3	13	8	2	6	8	3	4	-	
	100%	80%	20%	28%	72%	17%	83%	53%	10%	37%	53%	17%	25%	-	
	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	15%	-	
Refused	2	2	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	2	
	100%	100%	-	100%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	100%	
	0%	0%	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	20%	
Mean	1.88	1.88	1.89	1.80	1.950	1.73	1.90	1.76	1.88	2.04	1.80	2.10	2.46	2.20	
										1					
Std Dev	0.68	0.69	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.60	0.69	0.66	0.69	0.67	0.65	0.72	0.52	0.80	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 40

TABLE 025

13. To what extent are you concerned about (...)? Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, or not at all concerned?
B. Damages from wildlife to homes and landscapes Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned or not at all concerned?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP Yes No	AGE			RACE				
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female		18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other	
		B	C	D	E		F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	579	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	38%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Not at all concerned (1)	376	240	135	132E	184	49	325	134	137	99	319LM	34	6	5
	100%	64%	36%	51%	43%	13%	87%	35%	37%	25%	85%	9%	2%	1%
	47%	45%	43%	51%	43%	55%	46%	49%	47%	43%	52%	26%	21%	46%
Somewhat concerned (2)	304	204	100	130	174	32	271	103	112	81	229	54	9	5
	100%	67%	33%	43%	57%	10%	89%	35%	37%	27%	75%	19%	3%	2%
	38%	39%	36%	35%	40%	35%	38%	39%	37%	35%	37%	41%	35%	45%
Very concerned (3)	121	81	40	53	68	7	113	32	39	48HI	58	43K	12K	1
	100%	67%	33%	43%	57%	6%	93%	27%	32%	40%	48%	36%	10%	1%
	15%	15%	14%	14%	16%	8%	16%	12%	13%	21%	10%	33%	44%	8%
Don't know	5	2	3	1	4	2	3	1	1	3	4	-	-	-
	100%	41%	59%	18%	62%	36%	64%	22%	18%	59%	85%	-	-	-
	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-
Refused	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	100%	-	100%	-	100%	-	-	-
	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	-	-	-
Mean	1.68	1.70	1.65	1.63	1.73	1.52	1.70F	1.63	1.66	1.77H	1.57	2.07K	2.23K	1.62
Std Dev	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.64	0.73	0.69	0.70	0.77	0.66	0.77	0.80	0.69

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996 THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ

FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.

PAGE 41

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 027

13. To what extent are you concerned about (...)? Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, or not at all concerned?
C. Vehicle collisions with deer Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned or not at all concerned?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	905	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	38%	29%	78%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Not at all concerned (1)	112	96C	26	66E	47	17	95	46J	44	22	95L	8	6	1
	100%	77%	23%	58%	42%	15%	85%	41%	39%	19%	84%	7%	5%	1%
	14%	16%	9%	17%	11%	19%	13%	17%	15%	9%	16%	6%	21%	8%
Somewhat concerned (2)	343	220	122	157	186	44	297	126	121	90	288	53	7	5
	100%	84%	36%	46%	54%	13%	87%	37%	35%	26%	78%	15%	2%	2%
	43%	42%	44%	42%	43%	49%	42%	45%	42%	39%	44%	40%	26%	46%
Very concerned (3)	341	214	127	150	191	29	311F	99	125	113H	240	70K	14	5
	100%	83%	37%	44%	55%	8%	91%	29%	37%	33%	70%	20%	4%	2%
	42%	41%	46%	40%	44%	32%	44%	36%	43%	49%	39%	53%	53%	45%
Don't know	6	5	1	1	5	-	6	3	-	4I	5	-	-	-
	100%	79%	21%	23%	77%	-	100%	41%	-	59%	80%	-	-	-
	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	-	-
Refused	3	2	1	1	2	-	3	1	-	2	3	-	-	-
	100%	72%	28%	29%	72%	-	100%	35%	-	65%	100%	-	-	-
	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%	-	1%	1%	-	-	-
Mean	2.29	2.25	2.37B	2.23	2.34D	2.13	2.31F	2.20	2.28	2.40H	2.24	2.47K	2.31	2.37
Std Dev	0.70	0.72	0.65	0.73	0.67	0.71	0.70	0.71	0.71	0.66	0.71	0.62	0.83	0.69

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996 THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ

FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.

PAGE 42

TABLE 029

13. To what extent are you concerned about (13)? Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, or not at all concerned?
D. Getting diseases from wildlife Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned or not at all concerned?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	19-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	93	16	7	
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	523	273	375	431	90	713	275	269	231	611	131	27	12	
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	89%	34%	36%	29%	75%	16%	3%	1%	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Not at all concerned (1)	341	220	121	174E	167	59G	284	120	124	91	233LM	26	5	4	
	100%	65%	35%	51%	49%	16%	83%	35%	36%	27%	85%	8%	2%	1%	
	42%	42%	43%	46%	39%	62%	40%	44%	43%	40%	48%	20%	20%	35%	
Somewhat concerned (2)	259	170	89	115	144	26	233	98	95	72	208	36	9	2	
	100%	65%	35%	44%	56%	10%	90%	34%	37%	28%	80%	14%	3%	1%	
	32%	32%	32%	31%	33%	23%	33%	32%	33%	31%	34%	27%	33%	20%	
Very concerned (3)	201	136	65	85	115	8	191F	64	69	65	105	68K	13K	5	
	100%	68%	32%	43%	57%	4%	95%	32%	34%	33%	53%	34%	6%	3%	
	25%	26%	23%	23%	27%	9%	27%	23%	24%	29%	17%	52%	47%	45%	
Don't know	4	1	3	1	3	-	4	1	1	2	3	1	-	-	
	100%	28%	72%	17%	83%	-	100%	28%	30%	42%	74%	26%	-	-	
	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	-	
Refused	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	
	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	
	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	-	
Mean	1.82	1.84	1.80	1.76	1.83	1.47	1.87F	1.79	1.81	1.89	1.69	2.33K	2.27K	2.11	
Std Dev	0.80	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.81	0.65	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.75	0.79	0.80	0.97	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, CE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 43

TABLE 029

14. For whom are you most concerned about the threat or danger of getting diseases from wildlife? Are you most concerned for yourself or members of your family, your pets or livestock, or you, your family, pets and livestock?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	19-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	446	227	219	162	284	38	406	134	178	129	353	66	13	5	
	100%	51%	49%	36%	64%	9%	91%	30%	40%	29%	79%	15%	3%	1%	
<u>WEIGHTED TOTAL</u>	460	305	154	200	259	34	424	152	154	138	314	104	22	8	
	100%	66%	34%	44%	56%	7%	93%	33%	36%	30%	68%	23%	5%	2%	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Me, my family, pets and livestock	193	128	65	91	102	14	179F	67	71	52	133	41	13K	1	
	100%	66%	34%	47%	53%	7%	93%	35%	37%	27%	69%	21%	7%	1%	
	42%	42%	42%	45%	33%	40%	42%	44%	43%	38%	42%	39%	59%	13%	
My pets or livestock	47	26	21	16	32	4	43	15	12	19	34	10	2	1	
	100%	56%	44%	33%	67%	9%	91%	32%	26%	40%	71%	22%	4%	3%	
	10%	9%	13%	8%	12%	12%	10%	10%	7%	14%	11%	10%	8%	18%	
Myself or members of my family	207	144	63	88	119	16	190	67	78	60	136	52K	7	5	
	100%	70%	30%	43%	57%	8%	92%	32%	36%	29%	66%	25%	3%	3%	
	45%	47%	41%	44%	46%	46%	45%	44%	47%	44%	43%	50%	32%	70%	
Don't know	7	2	4	4	2	-	7	1	2	4	5	1	-	-	
	100%	36%	64%	65%	34%	-	100%	22%	24%	54%	79%	21%	-	-	
	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	-	-	
Refused	6	4	1	1	5	1	5	2	1	2	6	-	-	-	
	100%	76%	24%	15%	85%	9%	91%	34%	25%	41%	100%	-	-	-	
	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	-	-	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 44

15. Has wildlife damaged any property belonging to you or anyone in your household in the past year?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	703	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	526	278	375	431	90	713	275	299	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	38%	29%	75%	18%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	143	95	47	72	70	28	114	42	58	42	127	11	-	-
	100%	67%	33%	51%	49%	20%	80%	30%	40%	29%	89%	7%	-	-
	18%	18%	17%	19%	16%	32%	16%	15%	20%	18%	21%	8%	-	-
No	663	432	231	303	360	61	599	232	232	189	483	120	27	12
	100%	55%	35%	46%	54%	9%	90%	35%	35%	28%	73%	19%	4%	2%
	82%	82%	83%	81%	84%	68%	84%	85%	80%	82%	73%	92%	100%	100%
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
	100%	-	100%	-	100%	-	100%	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	-
	0%	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ

FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.

PAGE 45

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1998

TABLE 134

15. Referring to the most recent incident, what kind of damage occurred?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other	
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	148	73	70	64	84	28	113	37	73	37	138	6	-	-	
	100%	53%	47%	43%	57%	19%	80%	25%	49%	25%	93%	4%	-	-	
<u>WEIGHTED TOTAL</u>	143	96	47	72	70	28	114	42	58	42	127	11	-	-	
	100%	67%	33%	51%	49%	20%	80%	30%	40%	29%	89%	7%	-	-	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	
Damaged trees/plants	20	13	7	12	9	3	17	6	6	8	13	-	-	-	
	100%	66%	34%	57%	43%	16%	84%	27%	30%	37%	95%	-	-	-	
	14%	14%	15%	16%	13%	12%	15%	13%	11%	18%	15%	-	-	-	
Vehicle was damaged/accident	14	4	10	5	9	3	11	6	8	-	14	-	-	-	
	100%	30%	70%	35%	65%	24%	76%	43%	57%	-	100%	-	-	-	
	10%	4%	21%	7%	13%	12%	9%	14%	14%	-	11%	-	-	-	
Structural damage to the house	12	8	3	3	8	3	8	2	4	5	10	2	-	-	
	100%	72%	26%	27%	73%	22%	74%	17%	38%	45%	94%	16%	-	-	
	8%	9%	7%	4%	12%	9%	7%	5%	8%	12%	8%	17%	-	-	
Damage of landscaping	11	8	3	5	6	2	9	2	6	3	11	-	-	-	
	100%	71%	29%	43%	57%	16%	84%	19%	56%	25%	100%	-	-	-	
	8%	8%	7%	7%	9%	6%	8%	5%	11%	6%	9%	-	-	-	
Ruined crops	10	3	7	5	5	2	9	3	6	2	10	-	-	-	
	100%	31%	69%	48%	52%	15%	85%	25%	60%	15%	100%	-	-	-	
	7%	3%	15%	7%	8%	5%	8%	6%	11%	4%	8%	-	-	-	
Ruined garden	10	9	1	7	4	1	9	6	3	2	10	-	-	-	
	100%	85%	15%	65%	35%	12%	88%	55%	30%	15%	100%	-	-	-	
	7%	9%	3%	9%	5%	4%	8%	13%	5%	4%	8%	-	-	-	
Destroyed flowers	9	7	2	4	5	4	5	2	3	4	7	-	-	-	
	100%	74%	26%	43%	57%	49%	51%	19%	37%	44%	81%	-	-	-	
	6%	7%	5%	5%	7%	16%	4%	4%	6%	10%	6%	-	-	-	
In the attic/problems in the attic	9	8	1	8	1	3	6	3	3	2	9	-	-	-	
	100%	90%	10%	90%	10%	34%	66%	34%	38%	28%	100%	-	-	-	
	6%	9%	2%	11%	1%	10%	5%	7%	6%	6%	7%	-	-	-	
Roof damage	7	3	2	3	5	1	6	-	6	2	4	2	-	-	
	100%	79%	22%	35%	64%	12%	88%	-	79%	21%	58%	34%	-	-	
	5%	6%	3%	4%	7%	3%	5%	-	10%	4%	3%	23%	-	-	

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ

FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.

PAGE 46

TABLE 031 (continued)

16. Referring to the most recent incident, what kind of damage occurred?

	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp. Other
	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Damage vegetation	5 100% 4%	5 89% 5%	1 11% 1%	5 69% 7%	1 11% 1%	-	6 100% 5%	-	1 21% 2%	54 79% 11%	3 59% 3%	2 41% 22%	-
Other	34 100% 24%	25 73% 26%	9 27% 20%	17 50% 24%	17 50% 24%	6 18% 22%	28 82% 25%	14 41% 33%	10 29% 17%	10 30% 25%	29 84% 23%	4 12% 38%	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 47

TABLE 032

16. NETTED TABLE

	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp. Other
	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	148 100% -	78 53% -	70 47% -	54 43% -	84 57% -	28 19% -	119 80% -	37 25% -	73 49% -	37 25% -	138 93% -	6 4% -	-
WEIGHTED TOTAL	143 100% -	96 67% 100%	47 33% 100%	72 51% 100%	70 49% 100%	28 20% 100%	114 80% 100%	42 30% 100%	58 40% 100%	42 29% 100%	127 89% 100%	11 7% 100%	-
Landscape damage	56 100% 40%	42 74% 44%	15 26% 31%	32 57% 44%	24 43% 35%	11 19% 38%	45 81% 40%	15 26% 35%	20 35% 49%	20 36% 40%	51 91% 40%	2 4% 22%	-
House damage	27 100% 13%	22 79% 23%	6 21% 12%	13 49% 13%	14 51% 20%	6 23% 22%	21 75% 18%	5 18% 12%	13 49% 23%	9 33% 22%	23 82% 18%	4 16% 40%	-
Vehicle was damaged/accident	14 100% 10%	4 30% 4%	10 70% 21%	5 35% 7%	9 65% 13%	3 24% 12%	11 76% 9%	6 43% 14%	8 57% 14%	-	14 100% 11%	-	-
Ruined crops	10 100% 7%	3 31% 3%	7 69% 15%	5 48% 7%	5 52% 8%	2 15% 5%	8 65% 8%	3 25% 6%	5 60% 11%	2 15% 4%	10 100% 8%	-	-
Other	34 100% 24%	25 73% 26%	9 27% 20%	17 50% 24%	17 50% 24%	6 18% 22%	28 82% 25%	14 41% 33%	10 29% 17%	10 30% 25%	29 84% 23%	4 12% 38%	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 48

TABLE 033

17. What animal(s) caused the damage? Three responses; 1ST OF 3

RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	O	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	148 100%	78 53%	70 47%	64 43%	84 57%	28 19%	119 80%	37 25%	73 49%	37 25%	138 93%	6 4%	-	-
WEIGHTED TOTAL	143 100%	96 100%	47 100%	72 100%	70 100%	28 100%	114 100%	42 100%	53 100%	42 100%	127 100%	11 8%	-	-
Deer	41 100%	22 54%	19 46%	18 43%	23 57%	10 25%	31 75%	13 32%	19 46%	8 19%	40 97%	-	-	-
Squirrels	25 100%	20 80%	5 20%	12 49%	13 51%	5 20%	19 75%	5 20%	12 47%	6 24%	21 85%	-	-	-
Rabbit	22 100%	12 58%	9 42%	10 47%	11 53%	3 12%	19 88%	6 28%	10 47%	5 24%	22 100%	-	-	-
Raccoons	21 100%	16 75%	5 25%	9 42%	12 58%	4 18%	17 82%	6 29%	9 45%	6 27%	16 75%	3 14%	-	-
Possums	5 100%	5 100%	-	-	5 100%	2 40%	2 40%	2 40%	-	2 40%	5 100%	-	-	-
Geese	4 100%	3 85%	1 15%	3 85%	1 15%	2 44%	2 56%	1 42%	1 15%	2 44%	4 100%	-	-	-
Birds	3 100%	1 43%	2 57%	3 100%	-	-	3 100%	-	2 68%	1 32%	3 100%	-	-	-
Chipmunks	2 100%	1 63%	1 31%	-	2 100%	1 50%	1 50%	-	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	-	-	-
Pheasants	1 100%	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
 FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
 PAGE 49

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
 WILDLIFE STUDY
 RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 033 (continued)

17. What animal(s) caused the damage? Three responses; 1ST OF 3

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	O	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Tigers	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	-
Other	15 100%	11 70%	5 30%	14 92%	1 8%	2 15%	13 85%	7 45%	2 12%	6 42%	12 76%	2 15%	-	-
Don't know	4 100%	4 100%	-	1 31%	3 69%	-	4 100%	2 45%	2 55%	-	2 53%	2 45%	-	-
Anything	2 100%	2 100%	-	2 100%	-	-	2 100%	-	-	2 100%	2 100%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
 FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
 PAGE 50

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	148 100%	78 53%	70 47%	64 43%	84 57%	28 19%	119 80%	37 25%	73 49%	37 25%	138 93%	6 4%	-	-
WEIGHTED TOTAL	143 100%	96 67%	47 33%	72 51%	70 49%	26 20%	114 80%	42 30%	58 40%	42 29%	127 89%	11 8%	-	-
Deer	42 100%	22 53%	20 47%	18 43%	24 57%	10 25%	31 75%	14 33%	19 45%	8 19%	40 97%	0 0%	-	-
Squirrels	27 100%	21 78%	7 24%	14 52%	13 48%	5 18%	22 80%	6 20%	13 46%	9 34%	24 86%	4 14%	-	-
Rabbit	25 100%	15 60%	10 40%	13 51%	13 49%	4 15%	22 85%	7 27%	14 53%	5 20%	25 100%	0 0%	-	-
Raccoons	24 100%	19 79%	5 21%	11 44%	14 56%	7 30%	17 70%	8 34%	10 42%	6 24%	19 79%	3 12%	-	-
Possiums	5 100%	5 100%	-	-	5 100%	2 42%	3 58%	2 41%	1 17%	2 43%	5 100%	0 0%	-	-
Birds	5 100%	2 40%	2 40%	3 60%	2 40%	-	5 100%	1 20%	2 40%	2 40%	5 100%	0 0%	-	-
Geese	4 100%	3 75%	1 25%	3 75%	1 25%	2 50%	2 50%	1 25%	1 25%	2 50%	4 100%	0 0%	-	-
Chipmunks	2 100%	1 50%	1 50%	-	2 100%	1 50%	2 100%	-	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0 0%	-	-
Pheasants	1 100%	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	0 0%	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 51

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 034 (continued)
17. Three responses; NET OF 3

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Tigers	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	-	1 100%	1 100%	-	-	-
Other	17 100%	13 73%	5 27%	15 88%	2 12%	3 18%	14 82%	7 40%	4 23%	6 37%	14 79%	2 13%	-	-
Don't know	4 100%	4 100%	-	1 25%	3 75%	-	4 100%	2 50%	2 50%	-	2 50%	2 50%	-	-
Anything	2 100%	2 100%	-	2 100%	-	-	2 100%	-	-	2 100%	2 100%	-	-	-
NO MORE RESPONSES	134 100%	87 65%	46 35%	89 51%	85 49%	27 21%	106 79%	41 30%	52 39%	40 30%	120 90%	9 7%	-	-

TABLE 035
18. Did you...
A. Attempt to solve the problem yourself

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1995

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	148	78	70	64	84	28	119	37	73	37	138	6	-	-
	100%	53%	47%	43%	57%	19%	80%	25%	49%	25%	93%	4%	-	-
WEIGHTED TOTAL	143	96	47	72	70	28	114	42	58	42	127	11	-	-
	100%	87%	33%	51%	49%	20%	80%	30%	40%	29%	89%	7%	-	-
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-
Yes	89	66	23	48	42	22	67	33	36	23	77	9	-	-
	100%	71%	26%	54%	46%	25%	75%	34%	40%	26%	86%	10%	-	-
	63%	69%	50%	66%	53%	73%	59%	71%	63%	56%	61%	83%	-	-
No	52	29	22	25	27	6	46	11	21	18	49	2	-	-
	100%	57%	43%	47%	53%	11%	69%	21%	41%	35%	94%	3%	-	-
	35%	31%	43%	34%	39%	20%	41%	26%	37%	44%	38%	17%	-	-
Don't know	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
	100%	-	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-	-
	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	-
Refused	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	-	100%	-	-	100%	-	-	-
	0%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1995, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 53

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1995

TABLE 036
18. Did you...
B. Have a friend or acquaintance deal with it

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	148	78	70	64	84	28	119	37	73	37	138	6	-	-
	100%	53%	47%	43%	57%	19%	80%	25%	49%	25%	93%	4%	-	-
WEIGHTED TOTAL	143	96	47	72	70	28	114	42	58	42	127	11	-	-
	100%	87%	33%	51%	49%	20%	80%	30%	40%	29%	89%	7%	-	-
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-
Yes	22	12	10	10	12	4	18	9	7	8	20	1	-	-
	100%	54%	46%	45%	53%	18%	82%	34%	33%	34%	89%	5%	-	-
	16%	13%	22%	14%	17%	14%	16%	18%	13%	18%	16%	10%	-	-
No	120	64	36	62	58	24	95	35	50	34	107	10	-	-
	100%	70%	30%	52%	49%	20%	79%	29%	42%	28%	83%	8%	-	-
	84%	87%	79%	96%	83%	86%	84%	82%	67%	82%	84%	90%	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1995, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 54

TABLE 037
19. Did you...
D. Fire & pest or animal control professional

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misp.	Other	
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	148	78	70	64	84	28	119	37	73	37	138	6	-	-	
	100%	53%	47%	43%	57%	19%	80%	25%	49%	25%	93%	4%	-	-	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	143	96	47	72	70	28	114	42	58	42	127	11	-	-	
	100%	67%	33%	51%	49%	20%	80%	30%	40%	29%	89%	7%	-	-	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	
Yes	13	10	3	6	7	2	10	1	8	3	10	2	-	-	
	100%	77%	23%	44%	55%	15%	81%	12%	62%	27%	61%	14%	-	-	
	9%	10%	6%	8%	10%	8%	9%	3%	13%	6%	8%	17%	-	-	
No	130	86	44	57	63	26	103	41	50	38	117	9	-	-	
	100%	66%	34%	51%	49%	20%	80%	31%	38%	29%	90%	7%	-	-	
	91%	90%	94%	92%	90%	92%	91%	97%	67%	92%	92%	83%	-	-	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1995, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 55

TABLE 038
19. Did you...
D. Tolerate the nuisance

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misc.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	148	78	70	64	84	28	119	37	73	37	138	6	-	-
	100%	53%	47%	43%	57%	19%	80%	25%	49%	25%	93%	4%	-	-
WEIGHTED TOTAL	143	96	47	72	70	28	114	42	58	42	127	11	-	-
	100%	67%	33%	51%	49%	20%	80%	30%	40%	29%	89%	7%	-	-
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-
Yes	115	76	39	55	59	20	95	33	46	35	104	8	-	-
	100%	66%	34%	48%	52%	17%	82%	29%	40%	30%	90%	7%	-	-
	81%	79%	83%	76%	85%	70%	83%	78%	79%	84%	81%	79%	-	-
No	27	20	7	16	11	9	18	9	11	7	23	2	-	-
	100%	73%	27%	61%	39%	32%	63%	34%	41%	25%	64%	8%	-	-
	19%	21%	16%	23%	15%	30%	15%	22%	19%	16%	13%	21%	-	-
Don't know	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
	100%	-	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	100%	-	100%	-	-	-
	0%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1995, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 56

TABLE 039

18. Did you...

E. Kill or attempt to kill the offending animal

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE				
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	148	78	70	84	94	28	119	37	73	37	138	5	-	-
	100%	53%	47%	43%	57%	19%	80%	25%	49%	25%	93%	4%	-	-
WEIGHTED TOTAL	143	96	47	72	70	28	114	42	58	42	127	11	-	-
	100%	67%	33%	51%	49%	20%	80%	30%	40%	29%	89%	7%	-	-
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-
Yes	18	9	9	13E	5	3	15	9	6	4	18	-	-	-
	100%	49%	51%	73%	27%	17%	83%	49%	31%	22%	100%	-	-	-
	13%	9%	20%	18%	7%	11%	15%	21%	10%	10%	14%	-	-	-
No	122	85	37	58	53	25G	96	32	51	38	108L	9	-	-
	100%	70%	30%	49%	52%	21%	79%	28%	42%	31%	89%	7%	-	-
	85%	89%	79%	81%	90%	89%	84%	75%	89%	90%	85%	84%	-	-
Don't know	2	2	1	1	2	-	2	2	1	-	1	2	-	-
	100%	72%	28%	28%	72%	-	100%	72%	28%	-	28%	72%	-	-
	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	4%	1%	-	1%	16%	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ

FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.

PAGE 57

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 040

19. How important is it that wildlife and conservation education be taught in schools? Is it very important, moderately important, or not at all important?

	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE				
	TOTAL	Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	606	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Not at all important (1)	21	13	8	7	14	1	19	4	8	9	18	-	-	-
	100%	63%	37%	32%	68%	6%	91%	18%	36%	43%	88%	-	-	-
	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	4%	3%	-	-	-
Moderately important (2)	257	161	96	118	139	20	237F	88	90	78	204	31	12	2
	100%	63%	37%	46%	54%	8%	92%	34%	35%	30%	79%	12%	5%	1%
	32%	30%	35%	31%	32%	22%	33%	32%	31%	34%	33%	23%	45%	20%
Very important (3)	521	349	172	248	274	68G	450	183	191	137	385	99K	15	7
	100%	67%	33%	47%	53%	13%	86%	35%	37%	26%	74%	19%	3%	1%
	65%	66%	62%	66%	64%	75%	63%	67%	66%	59%	63%	76%	55%	60%
Don't know	5	4	2	2	3	-	5	-	-	5HI	2	1	-	2KL
	100%	68%	32%	46%	54%	-	100%	-	-	100%	35%	20%	-	46%
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	2%	0%	1%	-	20%
Refused	2	2	1	2	-	1	2	-	1	2	2	-	-	-
	100%	69%	31%	100%	-	31%	69%	-	31%	69%	100%	-	-	-
	0%	0%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	-	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-
Mean	2.63	2.64	2.60	2.65	2.61	2.75G	2.61	2.65	2.64	2.57	2.60	2.76K	2.55	2.75
Std Dev	0.54	0.53	0.55	0.52	0.55	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.53	0.57	0.55	0.43	0.52	0.48

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ

FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.

PAGE 58

TABLE 041:
20. Are you aware of any state programs designed to increase non-hunted wildlife by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources (formerly called the Department of Conservation)?

RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
Yes	122	67	56B	70E	52	30G	92	33	53H	38	104	18	-	-
	100%	55%	45%	57%	43%	25%	75%	27%	44%	29%	85%	15%	-	-
	15%	13%	20%	19%	12%	34%	13%	12%	18%	16%	17%	14%	-	-
No	676	456	220	301	375D	58	615F	242I	233	190	500	113	27	12
	100%	63%	32%	44%	56%	9%	91%	36%	34%	28%	74%	17%	4%	2%
	84%	66%	79%	80%	37%	64%	86%	88%	81%	82%	82%	86%	100%	100%
Don't know	5	3	3	3	3	2	4	-	3	4	6	-	-	-
	100%	53%	47%	46%	52%	29%	71%	-	42%	58%	100%	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-
Refused	2	2	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	2	-	-	-
	100%	100%	-	100%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	-
	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, CE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 59

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 042
21. Do you support state programs designed to maintain or increase numbers of game or hunted animals?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	36%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
Yes	381	225	155B	217E	163	55G	324	127	138	113	313LM	47	4	6
	100%	53%	41%	57%	43%	14%	85%	33%	36%	30%	82%	12%	1%	2%
	47%	43%	56%	58%	38%	61%	45%	46%	48%	49%	51%	36%	14%	51%
No	398	282C	114	150	240D	30	364F	139	140	110	275	83K	21K	6
	100%	71%	29%	38%	62%	8%	92%	35%	35%	28%	69%	21%	5%	1%
	49%	53%	41%	40%	57%	33%	51%	50%	49%	48%	45%	63%	77%	49%
Don't know	28	19	9	8	20	5	24	9	11	7	24	2	2	-
	100%	67%	33%	29%	71%	17%	83%	33%	38%	25%	83%	6%	8%	-
	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	1%	8%	-
Refused	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, CE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 60

TABLE 043

22. Would you support a slight increase in the cost of outdoor products such as, binoculars and camping equipment to pay for programs to maintain or increase wildlife?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	673	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	35%	23%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	582	385	197	280	302	75G	505	221J	228J	129	444	95	19	9
	100%	66%	34%	48%	52%	13%	87%	33%	33%	22%	76%	16%	3%	2%
	72%	73%	71%	75%	70%	84%	71%	80%	79%	56%	73%	73%	72%	80%
No	205	133	72	89	115	12	192F	52	59	93H	152	34	8	2
	100%	65%	35%	43%	57%	6%	93%	25%	29%	41%	74%	17%	4%	1%
	25%	25%	26%	24%	27%	14%	27%	19%	20%	39%	25%	26%	28%	20%
Don't know	19	9	10	6	13	2	17	3	3	12H	18	1	-	-
	100%	49%	51%	31%	69%	11%	89%	14%	16%	64%	83%	7%	-	-
	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	5%	3%	1%	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996 THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 61

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 044

23. Are you aware that part of the cost of hunting and hunting products goes toward wildlife and conservation?

	MEMBER-SHIP													
	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	673	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	35%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	289	155	134B	178E	111	46G	242	80	115H	92H	244L	28	11	1
	100%	54%	46%	62%	38%	16%	84%	28%	40%	32%	84%	10%	4%	0%
	36%	29%	48%	47%	26%	52%	34%	29%	40%	40%	21%	42%	12%	
No	511	388C	143	194	317D	42	466F	193IJ	174	134	354	103K	16	8
	100%	72%	28%	38%	62%	8%	91%	38%	34%	25%	71%	20%	3%	2%
	63%	70%	51%	52%	74%	47%	65%	70%	60%	58%	60%	79%	58%	66%
Don't know	4	4	1	3	1	1	4	1	-	3	2	-	-	2KL
	100%	80%	20%	75%	25%	20%	80%	25%	-	75%	45%	-	-	55%
	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	-	-	20%
Refused	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	100%	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	-
	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996 THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 62

TABLE 045

24. Who do you feel should regulate and control wildlife in Illinois? Should it be (read 1-3)?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misc.	Other	
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	528 65%	278 35%	375 47%	431 53%	90 11%	713 88%	275 34%	289 36%	231 29%	611 76%	131 16%	27 3%	12 1%	
State	477 100%	322 68%	154 32%	238E 50%	239 50%	54 11%	419 88%	163 34%	170 36%	136 29%	352 74%	90 19%	16 3%	9 2%	
	59%	61%	55%	63%	55%	61%	59%	59%	59%	59%	56%	63%	59%	77%	
County	253 100%	155 61%	98 39%	103 41%	151D 59%	29 12%	223 88%	91 36%	91 36%	70 28%	231 79%	30 12%	7 3%	3 1%	
	31%	29%	35%	27%	35%	33%	31%	33%	32%	30%	33%	23%	27%	23%	
City	26 100%	19 74%	7 26%	7 26%	19D 74%	2 8%	24 92%	8 31%	3 37%	7 29%	16 62%	7 28%	2 9%	-	
	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	9%		
All of these	29 100%	16 56%	13 44%	13 44%	16 55%	3 10%	26 90%	9 30%	11 36%	9 29%	23 75%	4 13%	-	-	
	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%			
None of these	10 100%	5 54%	5 46%	10E 95%	1 5%	1 9%	9 91%	2 23%	4 36%	4 41%	10 100%	-	-	-	
	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%				
Don't know	12 100%	9 79%	2 21%	5 47%	6 53%	-	12 100%	2 18%	4 37%	5 45%	10 86%	-	1 12%	-	
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%	2%	2%		5%		

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 63

TABLE 046

75. How would you rate your satisfaction with (...) in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
A. The variety of wildlife in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misc.	Other	
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%	
WEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	528 65%	278 35%	375 47%	431 53%	90 11%	713 88%	275 34%	289 36%	231 29%	611 76%	131 16%	27 3%	12 1%	
Very dissatisfied (1)	24 100%	19 78%	5 22%	13 52%	12 48%	4 15%	20 80%	131 53%	4 18%	5 20%	19 80%	2 7%	-	1 4%	
	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	5%	1%	2%	3%	1%	-	8%	
Dissatisfied (2)	100 100%	72 72%	28 28%	45 45%	55 55%	13 13%	87 87%	47J 47%	32 32%	21 21%	67 67%	25K 25%	2 2%	2 2%	
	12%	14%	10%	12%	13%	14%	12%	17%	11%	9%	11%	19%	7%	15%	
Satisfied (3)	551 100%	351 68%	200 72%	256 68%	295 68%	58 65%	492 69%	184 67%	203 70%	160 63%	425 70%	65 65%	21 78%	5 45%	
	68%	64%	38%	47%	53%	11%	89%	33%	37%	29%	77%	15%	4%	1%	
		67%	72%	68%	68%	65%	69%	67%	70%	63%	70%	65%	76%	45%	
Very satisfied (4)	75 100%	37 50%	38 74%	44E 12%	31 41%	11 7%	63 84%	23 30%	36 48%	16 21%	57 77%	13 17%	3 4%	1 2%	
	9%	7%	14%	12%	7%	12%	9%	8%	12%	7%	9%	10%	11%	12%	
Don't know	52 100%	45C 85%	8 15%	16 30%	37D 70%	4 9%	48 92%	8 16%	15 28%	25HI 75%	39 75%	6 12%	1 3%	2 5%	
	6%	3%	3%	4%	9%	5%	7%	3%	5%	11%	6%	5%	5%	20%	
Refused	4 100%	4 100%	-	2 40%	2 60%	-	4 100%	-	-	4I 100%	4 100%	-	-	-	
	0%	1%		0%	1%		1%			2%	1%				
Mean	2.90	2.85	3.00B	2.93	2.88	2.89	2.90	2.81	2.98H	2.93	2.92	2.57	3.05	2.75	
Std Dev	0.59	0.60	0.57	0.52	0.57	0.67	0.58	0.65	0.56	0.53	0.59	0.59	0.45	0.91	

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 64

TABLE 047

25. How would you rate your satisfaction with (...) in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
B. The amount of wildlife in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- Ship		AGE			RACE			
		Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55- J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806 100%	528 65%	278 35%	375 47%	431 53%	90 11%	713 88%	275 34%	289 36%	231 29%	611 76%	131 16%	27 3%	12 1%
Very dissatisfied (1)	21 100%	16 3%	5 2%	11 3%	10 2%	4 5%	16 2%	8 3%	8 3%	3 1%	17 3%	2 1%	-	-
Dissatisfied (2)	121 100%	93C 77%	28 23%	53 44%	68 56%	17 14%	104 85%	55IJ 46%	35 23%	28 23%	84 69%	28 23%	5 4%	-
Satisfied (3)	538 100%	340 63%	198 37%	250 47%	288 53%	55 10%	482 83%	177 33%	203 38%	155 29%	418 68%	80 15%	18 3%	7 1%
Very satisfied (4)	65 100%	31 47%	34B 53%	42E 64%	23 36%	9 14%	56 85%	24 37%	24 37%	15 23%	49 76%	11 16%	3 5%	2 4%
Don't know	57 100%	45C 79%	12 21%	17 29%	41D 71%	5 8%	53 92%	10 19%	17 30%	29HI 49%	40 70%	11 20%	1 2%	2 4%
Refused	4 100%	2 65%	1 35%	2 59%	2 41%	-	3 83%	-	2 42%	2 41%	3 83%	-	-	-
Mean	2.87	2.81	2.99B	2.91	2.84	2.81	2.88	2.82	2.90	2.91	2.88	2.83	2.94	3.25
Std Dev	0.59	0.59	0.56	0.62	0.55	0.68	0.57	0.62	0.57	0.51	0.58	0.59	0.57	0.48

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 55

TABLE 048

25. How would you rate your satisfaction with (...) in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
C. The management of wildlife in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- Ship		AGE			RACE			
		Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55- J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806 100%	528 65%	278 35%	375 47%	431 53%	90 11%	713 88%	275 34%	289 36%	231 29%	611 76%	131 16%	27 3%	12 1%
Very dissatisfied (1)	29 100%	20 4%	9 3%	13 4%	16 4%	4 5%	25 4%	11 4%	8 3%	7 3%	16 3%	12K 42%	-	-
Dissatisfied (2)	131 100%	98C 75%	33 25%	61 46%	71 54%	19 14%	112 86%	52J 40%	51J 39%	26 20%	83 66%	32K 25%	3 2%	2 1%
Satisfied (3)	507 100%	313 62%	194B 70%	241 47%	266 53%	53 11%	453 83%	171 34%	186 37%	147 29%	338 76%	72 14%	13 4%	5 1%
Very satisfied (4)	43 100%	22 51%	21B 49%	24 57%	19 43%	8 18%	34 78%	14 31%	18 42%	10 22%	34 79%	6 14%	-	2 5%
Don't know	94 100%	74C 78%	21 22%	36 38%	58 62%	5 6%	89 94%	26 28%	25 28%	41HI 43%	74 79%	9 9%	5 6%	2 3%
Refused	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	1 100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	2.79	2.74	2.89B	2.82	2.77	2.77	2.79	2.75	2.82	2.84	2.84L	2.58	2.86	3.07
Std Dev	0.61	0.62	0.58	0.61	0.60	0.58	0.59	0.62	0.59	0.55	0.57	0.74	0.36	0.72

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 66

TABLE 050
D1. GENDER: (Do not ask; code only)

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misc.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806 100%	528 65%	278 35%	375 47%	431 53%	90 11%	713 88%	275 34%	289 36%	231 29%	611 75%	131 16%	27 3%	12 1%
Male	375 100%	245 65%	130 35%	375 100%	-	47 12%	329 88%	136 35%	135 35%	104 28%	232 78%	51 14%	15 4%	7 2%
Female	431 100%	283 66%	148 34%	-	431 100%	43 10%	384 89%	136 32%	154 35%	127 29%	319 74%	80 19%	12 3%	4 1%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, J, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 67

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 050
D2. Where do you get most of your information about wildlife?

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Misc.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806 100%	528 65%	278 35%	375 47%	431 53%	90 11%	713 88%	275 34%	289 36%	231 29%	611 75%	131 16%	27 3%	12 1%
Newspaper	150 100%	96 64%	54 36%	73 49%	76 51%	14 9%	135 90%	37 25%	42 28%	70MI 47%	129L 86%	12 8%	2 1%	2 1%
Television	145 100%	99 68%	47 32%	63 43%	82 57%	9 6%	135F 93%	40 28%	65H 45%	36 25%	86 59%	38K 26%	10K 7%	4 3%
Magazine	92 100%	49 53%	43B 47%	46 51%	45 49%	15 16%	76 83%	25 26%	38 41%	25 27%	82L 89%	3 3%	2 2%	-
Observing	53 100%	31 59%	22 41%	31 58%	23 42%	9 16%	45 84%	17 32%	19 35%	17 32%	47 89%	3 5%	-	1 3%
Books	50 100%	37 73%	14 27%	21 42%	29 58%	3 5%	48 95%	19 36%	21 41%	11 22%	29 59%	18K 35%	3 6%	-
Reading/literature	50 100%	35 71%	14 29%	25 49%	25 51%	8 16%	41 83%	7 14%	23H 46%	19H 38%	42 85%	6 11%	-	-
Word of mouth	47 100%	24 51%	23B 49%	22 47%	25 53%	3 6%	45 94%	24I 50%	11 22%	13 28%	42 89%	5 11%	-	-
News/media	33 100%	28C 85%	5 15%	20 59%	13 41%	1 4%	32 96%	18J 54%	10 32%	5 15%	27 62%	5 15%	-	1 3%
Belong to a specific organization	18 100%	12 69%	6 31%	9 50%	9 50%	9G 53%	8 47%	8 45%	9J 50%	1 5%	18 100%	-	-	-

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 68

TABLE 050 (continued)
D2. Where do you get most of your information about wildlife?

	TOTAL	REGION			GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban	Rural	Other	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other	
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N		
School	18 100% 2%	11 66% 2%	5 31% 2%	5 29% 1%	11 61% 3%	2 11% 2%	14 83% 2%	11 63% 1%	2 12% 1%	3 19% 1%	9 53% 2%	3 20% 2%	2 11% 5%			
Library	15 100% 2%	9 57% 2%	7 43% 2%	3 19% 1%	12 81% 3%	1 6% 1%	14 94% 2%	10 66% 1%	3 17% 1%	2 15% 1%	9 58% 1%	4 29% 3%	2 14% 8%			
Discovery channel	14 100% 2%	9 63% 2%	5 37% 2%	5 31% 1%	9 64% 2%	1 7% 1%	13 93% 2%	5 33% 2%	8 59% 3%	1 6% 1%	8 55% 1%	4 29% 5%				
National Geographic	11 100% 1%	8 73% 1%	4 33% 1%	6 50% 2%	5 50% 1%	1 10% 1%	10 90% 1%	4 31% 1%	6 56% 2%	2 13% 1%	8 73% 1%	1 7% 1%			2K	18%
State parks	9 100% 1%	3 29% 0%	6 71% 2%	3 29% 1%	5 71% 1%	1 10% 1%	8 90% 1%	4 41% 1%	2 28% 1%	3 31% 1%	7 84% 1%	1 16% 1%				
Mail	6 100% 1%	4 74% 1%	2 26% 1%	1 15% 0%	5 83% 1%	1 20% 1%	5 80% 1%	4 64% 1%	1 16% 0%	1 20% 1%	5 100% 1%					
Other	66 100% 8%	51 76% 10%	16 24% 6%	32 49% 9%	34 51% 8%	12 18% 13%	54 82% 13%	35 52% 8%	23 34% 13%	9 13% 4%	44 67% 7%	17 25% 13%	4 6% 14%	1 2% 12%		
Don't know	5 100% 1%	-	5 100% 2%	3 60% 1%	2 40% 0%	-	5 100% 1%	1 13% 0%	2 41% 1%	2 45% 1%	3 70% 1%	1 30% 1%				
Refused	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
None/Don't receive information	25 100% 3%	24 95% 1%	1 5% 0%	3 12% 2%	15 60% 1%	-	25 100% 3%	7 29% 3%	4 17% 1%	11 46% 5%	15 59% 2%	7 27% 5%	2 10% 9%			

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HI, JK, LM, NO
COPYRIGHT: 1995, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION, INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 69

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
CHICAGO
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 051
D2. WEIGHTED TABLE

	TOTAL	REGION			GENDER		MEMBERSHIP		AGE			RACE				
		Urban	Rural	Other	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other	
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N		
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100% 100%	404 50% 50%	401 50% 50%	320 40% 40%	495 60% 60%	95 12% 12%	705 88% 88%	243 30% 30%	330 41% 41%	221 27% 27%	679 84% 84%	83 10% 10%	16 2% 2%	7 1% 1%		
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806 100% 100%	528 65% 100%	278 35% 100%	375 47% 100%	431 53% 100%	90 11% 100%	713 88% 100%	275 34% 100%	289 36% 100%	231 29% 100%	611 76% 100%	131 16% 100%	27 3% 100%	12 1% 100%		
Print media	374 100% 46%	237 63% 45%	137 37% 49%	175 47% 46%	199 53% 46%	43 12% 48%	330 88% 46%	106 28% 39%	134 36% 46%	130 35% 56%	305 82% 50%	44 12% 33%	9 2% 33%	4 1% 34%		
Television	192 100% 24%	136 71% 26%	57 29% 20%	87 45% 23%	105 53% 24%	11 6% 12%	180 94% 23%	63 33% 23%	84 44% 29%	42 22% 18%	120 63% 20%	50 25% 38%	10 5% 38%	5 3% 43%		
Others	101 100% 12%	56 55% 11%	45 45% 16%	53 53% 14%	49 47% 11%	12 11% 13%	89 88% 13%	41 41% 15%	29 29% 10%	31 30% 13%	89 88% 15%	8 8% 6%	-	1 1% 12%		
Membership	18 100% 2%	12 63% 2%	6 31% 2%	9 50% 2%	9 50% 2%	9 50% 10%	9 41% 1%	9 45% 3%	9 50% 3%	1 5% 0%	18 100% 3%	-	-	-		
School	16 100% 2%	11 68% 2%	5 34% 2%	5 29% 1%	11 71% 3%	2 11% 2%	14 89% 2%	11 69% 4%	2 12% 1%	3 19% 1%	9 59% 2%	3 20% 2%	2 11% 6%	-		
State park	9 100% 1%	3 29% 0%	6 71% 2%	3 29% 1%	6 71% 1%	1 10% 1%	8 90% 1%	4 41% 1%	2 28% 1%	3 31% 1%	7 84% 1%	1 16% 1%	-	-		
Other	66 100% 8%	51 76% 10%	16 24% 6%	32 49% 9%	34 51% 8%	12 18% 13%	54 82% 13%	35 52% 13%	23 34% 8%	9 13% 4%	44 67% 7%	17 25% 13%	4 6% 14%	1 2% 12%		
Don't know	5 100% 1%	-	5 100% 2%	3 60% 1%	2 40% 0%	-	5 100% 1%	1 13% 0%	2 41% 1%	2 45% 1%	3 70% 1%	1 30% 1%	-	-		
Refused	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	1 100% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

COPYRIGHT: 1995, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION, INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 70

TABLE 051 (continued)
D2. Are you currently receiving information from any of the following sources?

TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Misc. M	Other N
None/Don't receive information	25 100% 3%	24C 95% 4%	1 5% 0%	9 35% 2%	16 55% 4%	-	25 100% 3%	7 29% 3%	4 17% 1%	111 46% 5%	15 59% 2%	7 27% 5%	2 10% 9%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 71

TABLE 052

D3. Do you currently belong to any conservation or environmental organizations?

	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
	Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Misc. M	Other N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100% 50%	404 50% 50%	320 40% 50%	485 60% 50%	95 12% 2%	705 88% 3%	243 30% 3%	330 41% 4%	221 27% 2%	679 84% 10%	83 10% 2%	16 2% 1%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806 100% 100%	528 65% 100%	278 35% 100%	375 47% 100%	431 53% 100%	90 11% 100%	713 88% 100%	275 34% 8%	289 36% 2%	231 29% 7%	611 76% 100%	131 16% 3%	27 3% 1%
Yes	90 100% 11%	59 66% 11%	31 34% 11%	47 52% 12%	43 48% 10%	90G 100% 100%	-	27 30% 10%	36 40% 12%	25 28% 11%	87L 97% 14%	-	-
No	713 100% 88%	468 66% 83%	245 34% 89%	329 46% 86%	384 54% 89%	-	713F 100% 9%	248 35% 8%	253 35% 8%	206 29% 8%	523 86% 100%	131K 18% 100%	27 4% 100%
Don't know	2 100% 0%	-	2 100% 1%	-	2 100% 0%	-	-	1 64% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	-	-	-
Refused	2 100% 0%	1 64% 0%	1 36% 0%	-	2 100% 0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 72

TABLE 053
04. Please tell me your age.

WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

	TOTAL	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE			
		Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	38%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18-28	155	112C	43	81	74	8	146F	155IJ	-	-	108	28	12K	2
	100%	72%	28%	52%	48%	5%	95%	100%	-	-	70%	18%	8%	1%
	19%	21%	15%	22%	17%	9%	21%	58%	-	-	16%	21%	43%	15%
29-38	197	139	58	91	106	29	167	120IJ	77J	-	149	36	4	5
	100%	71%	29%	48%	54%	15%	85%	61%	39%	-	76%	18%	2%	3%
	24%	26%	21%	24%	25%	33%	23%	44%	27%	-	24%	28%	16%	42%
39-48	140	86	54	64	76	15	125	-	140HJ	-	108	23	5	-
	100%	61%	39%	48%	54%	11%	89%	-	100%	-	76%	17%	4%	-
	17%	16%	20%	17%	18%	17%	18%	-	49%	-	17%	18%	20%	-
49-59	120	77	43	58	62	15	105	-	72H	48H	94	21	3	-
	100%	64%	36%	49%	51%	12%	87%	-	60%	40%	78%	17%	3%	-
	15%	15%	16%	16%	14%	16%	15%	-	25%	21%	15%	16%	12%	-
60-99	182	104	798	81	102	20	162	-	-	182HI	150	21	2	5
	100%	57%	43%	44%	56%	11%	89%	-	-	100%	82%	12%	1%	3%
	23%	20%	28%	21%	24%	22%	23%	-	-	79%	25%	16%	9%	43%
Refused	11	10	1	-	110	2	7	-	-	-	5	2	-	-
	100%	89%	11%	-	100%	19%	61%	-	-	-	41%	14%	-	-
	1%	2%	0%	-	3%	2%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 73

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996

TABLE 054
05. Are you, yourself, of Hispanic origin or descent, such as Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or other Spanish background?

	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER-SHIP		AGE			RACE				
	TOTAL	Urban	Rural	Male	Female	Yes	No	18-34	35-54	55+	White	Black	Hisp.	Other
		B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805	404	401	320	485	95	705	243	330	221	679	83	16	7
	100%	50%	50%	40%	60%	12%	88%	30%	41%	27%	84%	10%	2%	1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806	528	278	375	431	90	713	275	289	231	611	131	27	12
	100%	65%	35%	47%	53%	11%	88%	34%	38%	29%	76%	16%	3%	1%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	33	27C	6	17	15	-	33F	12	15	5	8	-	27KLN	-
	100%	83%	17%	53%	47%	-	100%	38%	47%	15%	17%	-	83%	-
	4%	5%	2%	5%	4%	-	5%	5%	5%	2%	1%	-	100%	-
No	769	498	2718	357	412	88	679	262	273	225	604M	131M	-	12M
	100%	65%	35%	48%	54%	12%	88%	34%	35%	29%	79%	17%	-	2%
	95%	94%	97%	95%	96%	99%	95%	95%	94%	98%	99%	100%	-	100%
Refused	5	3	1	1	4	1	2	-	1	1	1	-	-	-
	100%	72%	28%	25%	75%	23%	40%	-	25%	15%	25%	-	-	-
	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN
COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 74

TABLE 055

06. What is your race? Are you white, African-American, or some other race?

	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE				
	TOTAL	Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	805 100%	404 50%	401 50%	320 40%	485 60%	95 12%	705 88%	243 30%	330 41%	221 27%	679 84%	83 10%	16 2%	7 1%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	806 100%	528 65%	278 35%	375 47%	431 53%	90 11%	713 88%	275 34%	289 36%	231 29%	611 76%	131 16%	27 3%	12 1%
Some other race	12 100%	9 69%	4 31%	7 63%	4 37%	- 1%	12 100%	71 57%	- 2%	51 43%	- 2%	- 2%	- 2%	12KLM 100%
Refused	12 100%	8 72%	3 28%	2 18%	9 82%	1 10%	9 75%	- 1%	3 27%	3 28%	- 1%	- 1%	- 1%	- 1%
White	611 100%	365 60%	2468 89%	232 40%	319 48%	87G 14%	523 86%	205 33%	214 35%	188 31%	611KLMN 100%	- 100%	- 100%	- 100%
African-American/Black	131 100%	111C 85%	20 15%	51 39%	80 61%	- 1%	131F 100%	45 35%	56J 43%	27 21%	- 12%	131KLMN 100%	- 100%	- 100%
Hispanic	27 100%	24C 88%	3 12%	15 57%	12 43%	- 4%	27 100%	12 44%	11 41%	4 16%	- 2%	- 2%	- 2%	27KLMN 100%
Asian	10 100%	10 92%	1 8%	6 58%	4 42%	- 1%	10 100%	4 39%	5 44%	2 17%	- 1%	- 1%	- 1%	- 1%
Native American	3 100%	2 66%	1 33%	1 33%	2 66%	2G 66%	1 33%	2 66%	- 0%	1 33%	- 0%	- 0%	- 0%	- 0%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 75

TABLE 056

07. Do you consider yourself to be white-Hispanic, or black-Hispanic?

	REGION		GENDER		MEMBER- SHIP		AGE			RACE				
	TOTAL	Urban B	Rural C	Male D	Female E	Yes F	No G	18-34 H	35-54 I	55+ J	White K	Black L	Hisp. M	Other N
UNWEIGHTED TOTAL	16 100%	13 81%	3 19%	8 50%	8 50%	- 0%	16 100%	8 38%	8 50%	2 12%	- 0%	- 0%	16 100%	- 0%
WEIGHTED TOTAL	27 100%	24 88%	3 12%	15 57%	12 43%	- 0%	27 100%	12 43%	11 41%	4 16%	- 0%	- 0%	27 100%	- 0%
White-Hispanic	22 100%	21C 95%	1 5%	12 56%	9 44%	- 0%	22 100%	10 48%	7 33%	4 19%	- 0%	- 0%	22KLMN 100%	- 0%
(Hispanic/Respondent refuses to discriminate)	3 100%	2 59%	1 41%	3 100%	- 0%	- 0%	3 100%	1 41%	2 59%	- 0%	- 0%	- 0%	3KL 100%	- 0%
Black-Hispanic	2 100%	1 64%	1 36%	- 0%	2 100%	- 0%	2 100%	- 0%	2 100%	- 0%	- 0%	- 0%	2KL 100%	- 0%

(sig=.05) (all_pairs) columns tested BC, DE, FG, HIJ, KLMN

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 76

TABLE 001

- P. 1 S1. In what county is your household located?
- 002 10 S2. REGION
- 003 11 S3. How many adults, age 18 and older, including yourself, live in this household?
- 004 12 S4. Of those adults, who had the most recent birthday? May I please speak to him/her?
- 005 13 1. Please tell me how important wildlife is to you? Wildlife is generally defined as all hunted and nonhunted wild animals, particularly birds and mammals, excluding fish. Is wildlife very important, moderately important, or not at all important?
- 006 14 2. What types of animals do you think of when using the word "wildlife"? Three responses; 1ST OF 3
- 007 17 2. Three responses; NET OF 3
- 008 21 3. What is your FAVORITE type of wildlife?
- 009 24 4. Have you (...) in the last year?
A. Observed wildlife in the last year?
- 010 25 4. Have you (...) in the last year?
B. Photographed wildlife in the last year?
- 011 26 4. Have you (...) in the last year?
C. Fed wildlife in the last year?
- 012 27 5. How often have you (...) in the last year?
A. Observed wildlife in the last year? (4-1)
- 013 28 5. How often have you (...) in the last year?
B. Photographed wildlife in the last year? (4-1)
- 014 29 5. How often have you (...) in the last year?
C. Fed wildlife in the last year? (4-1)
- 015 30 6. How much would you miss wildlife in your life if you could not interact (observe, photograph or feed) with it? Would you miss it very much, some, or not at all?
- 016 31 7. From your perspective, where do you place wildlife animals in society? Would it be the (read 1-3)?
- 017 32 8. Do you think that a particular wildlife habitat can support an unlimited number of wildlife?
- 018 33 9. Do you believe endangered species of wildlife should be protected even if the cost is high in terms of dollars and lost jobs?

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 1

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1996
TABLE OF CONTENTS
PAGE 2

TABLE 019

- P. 34 10. Which of the following do you believe contributes more to causing species to become endangered? (1-2)
- 020 35 11. In general, how do you feel about hunting (...) Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
A. For sport? Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
- 021 36 11. In general, how do you feel about hunting (...) Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
B. For food? Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
- 022 37 11. In general, how do you feel about hunting (...) Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
C. For wild animal population control? Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
- 023 38 11. In general, how do you feel about hunting (...) Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
D. To reduce risk of diseases? Favorably, undecided, or negatively?
- 024 39 12. Have you or has anyone in your household hunted or trapped wildlife in the past three years?
- 025 40 13. To what extent are you concerned about (...) Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, or not at all concerned?
A. Damages from wildlife to agricultural crops Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned or not at all concerned?
- 026 41 13. To what extent are you concerned about (...) Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, or not at all concerned?
B. Damages from wildlife to homes and landscape Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned or not at all concerned?
- 027 42 13. To what extent are you concerned about (...) Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, or not at all concerned?
C. Vehicle collisions with deer Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned or not at all concerned?
- 028 43 13. To what extent are you concerned about (...) Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned, or not at all concerned?
D. Getting diseases from wildlife Are you very concerned, somewhat concerned or not at all concerned?
- 029 44 14. For whom are you most concerned about the threat or danger of getting diseases from wildlife? Are you most concerned for yourself or members of your family, your pets or livestock, or you, your family, pets and livestock?
- 030 45 15. Has wildlife damaged any property belonging to you or anyone in your household in the past year?
- 031 46 16. Referring to the most recent incident, what kind of damage occurred?
- 032 48 16. NETTED TABLE
- 033 49 17. What animal(s) caused the damage? Three responses; 1ST OF 3
- 034 51 17. Three responses; NET OF 3

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 2

035	53	18. Did you...
		A. Attempt to solve the problem yourself
036	54	18. Did you...
		B. Have a friend or acquaintance deal with it
037	55	18. Did you...
		C. Hire a pest or animal control professional
038	56	18. Did you...
		D. Tolerate the nuisance
039	57	18. Did you...
		E. Kill or attempt to kill the offending animal
040	58	19. How important is it that wildlife and conservation education be taught in schools? Is it important, moderately important, or not at all important?
041	59	20. Are you aware of any state programs designed to increase nonhunted wildlife by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources (formerly called the Department of Conservation)?
042	60	21. Do you support state programs designed to maintain or increase numbers of game or hunted animals?
043	61	22. Would you support a slight increase in the cost of outdoor products such as, binoculars and camping equipment to pay for programs to maintain or increase wildlife?
044	62	23. Are you aware that part of the cost of hunting and hunting products goes toward wildlife and conservation?
045	63	24. Who do you feel should regulate and control wildlife in Illinois? Should it be (read 1-3)?
046	64	25. How would you rate your satisfaction with (....) in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
		A. The variety of wildlife in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
047	65	25. How would you rate your satisfaction with (....) in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
		B. The amount of wildlife in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
048	66	25. How would you rate your satisfaction with (....) in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
		C. The management of wildlife in Illinois? Are you very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?
049	67	D1. GENDER: (Do not ask; code only)

COPYRIGHT: 1996, THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION INC., PRINCETON, NJ
FURTHER DISSEMINATION OUTSIDE SUBSCRIBER'S ORGANIZATION BY ANY METHOD IN ANY FORM IS PROHIBITED.
PAGE 3

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
WILDLIFE STUDY
RUN DATE: 24 JUL 1995
T A B L E C O N T E N T S
PAGE 4

TABLE 050	P. 58	02. Where do you get most of your information about wildlife?
051	70	02. NETTED TABLE
052	72	03. Do you currently belong to any conservation or environmental organizations?
053	73	04. Please tell me your age.
054	74	05. Are you, yourself, of Hispanic origin or descent, such as Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or other Spanish background?
055	75	06. What is your race? Are you white, African-American, or some other race?
056	76	07. Do you consider yourself to be white-Hispanic, or black-Hispanic?